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I. INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, a number of nations have become aware of the vital importance of the world's agricultural land resources to produce food and fiber for a growing population.\(^1\) A mere awareness, however, will not result in protection of the dwindling supply of productive agricultural land. Instead, there is need for legal mechanisms designed to keep the most productive farmland available for agricultural use. In the United States, with its vast acres of fertile farmland, protection of the land for future generations has attracted attention only relatively recently.\(^2\) Federal and state legislatures and local governments have begun to enact and implement legal schemes to retard conversion of farmland to nonagricultural use. Legal implements like agricultural zoning, agricultural districts, conservation easements, the conservation reserve, right to farm laws, and special tax abatement have been somewhat effective in achieving their goals.\(^3\) But some of these programs have only limited application,\(^4\) and in many instances participation is voluntary.

It might be concluded that the large size of the United States and the impressive, albeit declining, number of acres\(^5\) of farmland have made the enactment of effective programs seem less compelling. The United States thus has much to learn from smaller coun-

---


4. Conservation easements and related programs, for example, involve substantial financial commitment, and can therefore protect only a limited number of parcels of agricultural land.


The land area of the United States is approximately 2,265 million acres. About 21 percent is cropland; 26 percent, livestock grazing; 29 percent, forested (excluding forest land in parks); and 24 percent, nonagricultural and other land. Total cropland in 1982 was 474 million acres; this includes cropland used for crops (in 1982, 387 million acres), pastured and idle. Urban areas constitute about 47 million acres. Id., at v, vi.
tries that are more densely populated. In situations of extreme population density, other land uses compete with agriculture for a share of land in the countryside, yet in many situations the continued viability of agriculture is essential both for a reliable food supply and for the economic welfare of the country. Under these circumstances, farmland is relatively scarce. Thus, it has traditionally been valued and protected, even to the extent of significant government interference with the landowner's freedom of choice regarding the use of property. One such country, which has implemented complicated legal protections for its productive farmland, is the Netherlands.

A. Farmland in the Netherlands

Agricultural land is crucial for the Netherlands, which has an intensive agricultural industry that produces high-quality products for consumption at home and abroad. The total area of the Netherlands is 4.15 million hectares (about the size of the combined states of Massachusetts and Connecticut). Of this area, 2.02 million hectares are cultivated land, used for arable farming, grassland, horti-

6. The Netherlands are a constitutional monarchy with a parliamentary system. The monarch (currently the Queen) reigns but does not govern. Executive power resides in the Queen, but her powers are exercised through ministers, who must have the confidence of Parliament. This combination of Queen and ministers is referred to as “the government.” Government powers are extensive; the government can regulate in many areas by decree (for example, through algemene maatregelen van bestuur), when such regulation is authorized by statute.

The Queen (that is, the government) and Parliament exercise legislative power in joint action. Parliament, the Staten Generaal (States General), has two chambers. The First Chamber consists of 75 members elected by members of the provincial councils; the Second Chamber has 150 members chosen in direct elections. Only the Second Chamber may propose or amend bills. Laws must be approved by both Chambers of Parliament and by the government. Article 120 of the Constitution provides that “The constitutionality of Acts of Parliament and treaties shall not be reviewed by the courts.” See The Constitution of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, 30 NETHERLANDS INT'L L. REV. 387, 401 (1983) (English translation of revised Constitution, effective 17 February 1983).

the Netherlands consists of 12 Provinces, and there is a large degree of decentralization. Provinces are governed by the elected provincial councils. The provincial governor is appointed by the Queen.


7. This includes about 34,000 square kilometers of land and 7000 square kilometers of water areas (lakes and inland sea branches). van Lier, Rural Land Uses in the Netherlands, 51 Ekistics 4, 4 (1984). Each square kilometer is equal to 100 hectares, each hectare equals approximately 2.47 acres.)
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culture, and other agricultural purposes. In 1985, there were 136,000 Dutch agricultural holdings. The majority of these holdings are relatively small; only 12.3% of holdings are over thirty hectares in size; 69.1% are between two and thirty hectares. Dutch farmers make up only a small proportion of the 14.5 million inhabitants of this small, densely populated country. Nonetheless, agriculture contributes dramatically to the Dutch economy, and agricultural products, often in manufactured form, make up a sizable portion of annual exports. Also the Netherlands ranks among the world’s top farm-exporting nations.

Holland’s extreme population density has meant increased pressure on agricultural land, particularly in recent years. Early in this century, the construction of polders (land reclaimed from water areas) and clearing of heaths and peatlands resulted in an increased farmland area. More recently, declines have been the norm. Indeed, although the total surface area in the Netherlands has increased somewhat in the years since 1960, conversions of agricultural land to other uses have meant that the amount of land under cultivation has dwindled.

Social developments since the 1950s have made the countryside

---

9. Id. The number of holdings has declined significantly. In 1969, there were 301,000; in 1970, 185,000. Id.
11. FACTS AND FIGURES, supra note 8, at 3 (1985 statistics). Agriculture, involving 270,000 workers, makes up only six percent of the active work force of approximately 4.5 million worker-years.
12. Besides agricultural production itself, with its 5.01 percent share of total production, the food and drink industry, which processes over 60 percent of Dutch agricultural and horticultural output, is one of the most important industrial sectors. FACTS AND FIGURES, supra note 8, at 4, 14.
13. FACTS AND FIGURES, supra note 8, at 19. Statistics from 1985 indicate that agricultural exports made up 22.8% of total exports from that year.
14. In 1984, the Netherlands, with a 6.9 percent share, was third in exports of agricultural products, after the United States (18 percent) and France (7.2 percent). LANDBOUW-ECONOMISCH BERICHT, at 14 (Den Haag, Landbouw-Economisch Institute Periode 1-86 (1986)). Barlagen, Boeren in een veranderend platteland, 24 CULTUURTECHNISCH TIJDSSCHRIFT 317, 318 (1985) says the Netherlands rank second after the United States. This ranking was correct in 1976.
16. Between 1960 and 1985, total area increased from 4.1 to 4.15 million hectares (with the increase explained by the impolderment of areas of the IJsselmeer), while cultivated land decreased from 2.32 to 2.02 million hectares. FACTS AND FIGURES, supra note 8, at 3.

https://scholarlycommons.law.cwsl.edu/cwilj/vol18/iss2/1
increasingly important to many sectors of the Dutch population.\textsuperscript{17} Interests other than agriculture have demanded a share of rural land. Urbanization and industrialization, as well as infrastructure developments and increased demands for outdoor recreation and nature protection, have played roles in the decline in the amount of cultivated land.\textsuperscript{18} At the end of the 1960s and during the early 1970s the loss of farmland was about 10,000 hectares per year. Presently, about 5,000 hectares per year are lost,\textsuperscript{19} still a significant amount in light of Holland's small size.

The Netherlands' dense population and intensive agriculture industry require optimal use of limited land resources. Thus, the Netherlands has adopted relatively stringent measures to control and allocate the consumption of its land. Three of these measures have been particularly effective in maximizing the productivity of agricultural land.\textsuperscript{20}

The first measure, which also influences the second and third,\textsuperscript{21} is a comprehensive system of physical planning, carried out under authority of the Physical Planning Act,\textsuperscript{22} and effectuated in a decentralized, but coordinated, manner by the central government, provinces, and municipalities.\textsuperscript{23} The policy of the central government demonstrates a commitment to sound planning and management in rural areas\textsuperscript{24} insofar as possible in light of the pressures exerted on

---

\textsuperscript{17} A. Criens, \textit{Regulative phase}, \textit{supra} note 15, at 4.
\textsuperscript{19} A. Criens, \textit{Regulative phase}, \textit{supra} note 15, at 1.
\textsuperscript{21} See infra text accompanying notes 186-87, 217.
\textsuperscript{22} Wet op de Ruimtelijke Ordening, 5 juli 1962, Stb. 286, effective 1 August 1965; current version, 21 nov. 1985, Stb. 623, 624, 625 (Ned. Staats. No. 64 (1986)).
\textsuperscript{24} Policy is set out in part in the Nota landelijke gebieden (Report on Rural Areas), derde nota over de ruimtelijke ordening, Tweede Kamer, zitting 1976-1977, no. 14 392 (1977). Policy is intended to do justice to agrarian sources of livelihood, living conditions of the agrarian population, economy of land use, landscape values, significance of rural areas in terms of natural science and ecology, and contrasting effect of open areas. \textit{Id.} § 1.1 at 3; van Mourik, \textit{Policy Resolutions, supra} note 18, at 139. In 1983, the Nota completed parliamentary treatment as a Key Planning Decision (see infra note 149). Tweede Kamer, Vergaderjaar 1983-1984, 14 392, nr. 46. The implementation of general policy, as established in the Key Planning Decision, involves different treatment for four categories of land areas:
rural areas by other societal interests. Provincial regional plans (streekplannen), which normally are influenced by central government policy, outline in general terms the future spatial development for the provinces. Provincial authorities refer to these regional plans to establish policy for the required approval of municipal plans. Municipalities can issue structure plans (structuurplannen) indicating through description, explanation, and maps the future development of the area. In addition, allocation or land-use plans (bestemmingsplannen) establish—most importantly through maps—the prescribed use of land within the plan area. Municipalities include rural areas, and for these areas a land-use plan, similar to a zoning plan, is mandatory. In the plan, land can be allocated for agricultural, among other, uses. Land-use plans are directly binding on citizens, who are forbidden to change the use of their land to a function inconsistent with the plan designation. Construction is permitted only after application for a building permit, and the permit must be refused if building would conflict with a land-use plan. Thus, land designated for agricultural use can be protected from conversion to nonagricultural uses. The efficacy of this protection, however, depends to some extent on the individual municipality's evaluation of competing land-use priorities.

A second measure is the reclamation of new agricultural land through the process of creating artificially-protected areas called polders. Long required to protect land from incursion of water, the Dutch have developed sophisticated mechanisms for enclosing and draining both small and relatively large areas from lakes or the sea. Though small reclamation projects began as early as the sixteenth century, the most significant efforts from the point of view of agriculture have occurred during this century through the IJsselmeer project, involving large areas of the body of water formerly known as the Zuider Zee. The closure and partial drainage of this gulf of the North Sea have resulted in the acquisition of approxi-
mately 200,000 hectares of new land. The 1918 legislation establishing the project had, among other purposes, the related goals of meeting the demand for farmland and providing for an increase in food production. Thus a substantial portion of the reclaimed land has been devoted to agricultural use. Moreover, the careful design and preparation of optimal land parcels have made this new land productive and efficient.

The third measure that affects agricultural land is the land development process that is the subject of this article. In short, land development is the legally sanctioned mechanism used in the Netherlands to reorganize, improve, reparcel, and reallocate farmland, for the purpose of improving agricultural structure, especially economic and working conditions. This complicated process is designed to make the best use of the limited amount of available farmland. It is an indispensable instrument for maintaining the competitive economic position of Dutch agriculture.

### B. Land Development

Land development in the Netherlands involves a complicated legal scheme and a high level of government involvement in land ownership. The comprehensive nature of present-day land development evolved over the years from its beginnings in the process of

---

29. A. Constandse, Planning and Creation of an Environment 2 (Rijksdienst voor de IJsselmeerpolders, Ministerie van verkeer en waterstaat, no date) [hereinafter cited as Creation of an Environment].

The polders add a fifth to the land surface of the Netherlands. Wijers, The Zuiderzee works within the frame of physical planning, 4 Planning and Development in the Netherlands 42, 43 (1970). This figure evidently includes the fifth, or Markerwaard, polder. Although planned and diked, this polder will not be built in the near future. Both the cost of the project and the present value of the area as a body of water have contributed to this decision.


31. The process of preparing reclaimed land for agricultural use involves removal of surplus water through drainage and evaporation (often aided by growth of reeds), and maturation of the soil, which involves penetration of air into the soil plus the occurrence of chemical and biological processes. It takes an average of five years after the polder is drained before the newly reclaimed soil can be used for agriculture; thereafter government agents farm the land for about five years. Land in a new polder is prepared gradually, and farmers may receive the last plots of land only 20 years after the process of land preparation began. It is said that “the land is reclaimed for the third generation.” See R. van Duin & G. de Kaste, ch. 5, Making reclaimed land fit for agriculture, 45-47, 53, in The Pocket Guide to the Zuyder Zee Project (Rijksdienst voor de IJsselmeerpolders, Ministerie van verkeer en waterstaat, no date). For an overview, see also H. Smits, Agricultural Aspects, 4 Planning and Development in the Netherlands 62 (1970).

32. See generally, Rijksdienst voor de IJsselmeerpolders, Ministerie van verkeer en waterstaat, Boeren op nieuw land (no date).
ruilverkaveling—most accurately translated as land consolidation, but sometimes referred to as reallocation. Regulated by law since 1924, but existing informally even earlier, ruilverkaveling was necessitated by the unfavorable agricultural land structures that existed in many areas of the country.

In theory, ruilverkaveling is a neutral concept. It "encompasses the assembly of property belonging to different persons, the division once again of the property into portions or parcels in order to result finally in a new distribution of the quantity contributed according to the ratio of each one's contribution to the total." Though theoretically the process of ruilverkaveling could be applied to numerous kinds of property, over the years the Dutch have applied the concept to a redistribution of real property, especially farmland.

Land consolidation measures can be designed to remedy two different types of problems that make farming inefficient: the division of farm property into parcels too small for economic cultivation, and the wide dispersal of plots of land that form one farm. Both of these problems existed, and still exist, in Holland. Indeed, in the 1950s, over 75 percent of Dutch farms were either too small or too fragmented for economic management.

A number of geographic and legal factors have led to the evolution of farms consisting of a number of small, often widely separated parcels. In some areas, particularly in peatlands, farms were established along access roads, and parcels were extended perpendicular to the road. Inheritance laws required heirs to share equally

---

33. See infra text accompanying notes 64-75.
34. Dam, De Doelstelling van de ruilverkaveling in de ruilverkavelingswetgeving 11, in VAKGROEP AGRARISCH RECHT, LANDBOUWUNIVERSITEIT, WAGENINGEN, RECHT IN ONTWIKKELING (1986) [hereinafter cited as Doelstelling].
35. Id.
36. P. Moral-Lopez, PRINCIPLES OF LAND CONSOLIDATION 4 (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (1962)). The more complex legal regimes attempt to solve both problems and, as in the Netherlands, to address other concerns as well.
38. The ideal size for Dutch farms has changed over the years. In 1947, 10 hectares was economically desirable. By 1974, 25 hectares was economical for dairy farms, and 30 hectares for field crops. Manten, Fifty Years of Rural Landscape Planning in the Netherlands, 2 LANDSCAPE PLANNING 197, 204-205 (1975) [hereinafter cited as Rural Landscape Planning].

In the polders, in which agricultural interests were at first paramount, various farm sizes were used. In the North East Polder (the second, drained in 1942), farm sizes were established in response to conflicting pressures for larger commercial units and traditional small holdings. The compromise between these interests resulted in an average holding of 24 hectares, with the smallest size set at 12 and the largest at 48 hectares. In East Flevoland, drained in 1957, the average size was 40.5 hectares, with a minimum of 20 and a maximum of 95 hectares. A. Constansse, CREATION OF AN ENVIRONMENT, supra note 29, at 6, 7.
in an estate. Thus, as the land was inherited, parcels were divided first lengthwise (until they became too narrow)\(^\text{39}\) and then breadthwise, with the result that plots were small and often scattered.\(^\text{40}\) Other farmland, too, was divided into increasingly smaller parcels as it was inherited by succeeding generations.

Further fragmentation of land occurred in some regions when commonly owned lands were divided into separate parcels.\(^\text{41}\) Equitable division required assigning small plots of different quality land to recipients, resulting in a proliferation of farms made up of numerous, scattered parcels. Other transactions involving the land (sales of parcels or parts of parcels, changes brought about by marriage) also contributed to this fragmentation. In addition, in more recent times, construction of roads and canals and the effectuation of municipal land-use plans have sometimes led to further division of parcels of agricultural land.\(^\text{42}\) Thus farmers commonly cultivated, and still cultivate,\(^\text{43}\) "farms" consisting of five or six or more small parcels (approximately one or two hectares), often located far from each other and far from the farmstead.\(^\text{44}\)

Thus, from an agricultural viewpoint, the process of ruilverkaveling was designed, and is still designed, to restructure land parcels to incorporate conditions that ensure a more efficient performance of some of the work activities involved in agriculture. Efficiency is

---

39. Problems of narrow strips of land are longstanding. Even before the eleventh century, methods of land clearing resulted in a pattern of narrow strips of farmland. A. Lambert, Dutch Landscape, supra note 37, at 80-81.

40. R. Held & D. Visser, Rural Land Uses, supra note 20, at 343; A. Lambert, Dutch Landscape, supra note 37, at 312.

41. A. Lambert, Dutch Landscape, supra note 37, at 245, 312.


43. Developments in agrarian structure recently indicate that divisions in ownership and use of farms do not occur so frequently as in the past. The increase in number of parcels per farm found in some areas is normally the result of purchase or rental of available ground, in most cases not adjacent to the existing farm. Kramer, INLEIDING, supra note 42, at XIX.

44. Illustrations from land consolidation projects provide concrete examples. In a land consolidation project for Etten-Leur-Rucphen (an area of 5430 hectares—13,400 acres—in the southern province of North Brabant), the average fulltime farmer had six plots with an average size of approximately 2 hectares (4.9 acres). An average of 2 kilometers separated farm buildings and outlying fields; only one-third of the land was adjacent to buildings. Naeff, Land Consolidation Project in the Netherlands: The Project from the Etten-Leur-Rucphen Region, ch. 13, at 306, in Land Conservation and Development—Examples of Land-Use Planning Projects and Programs (F. Steiner & H. van Lier, eds. 1984). Similarly, in an area of 4430 hectares between the Waal and Maas rivers in central Holland, the average farmer had 7.7 hectares, divided over more than 6 parcels, sometimes widely separated and difficult to reach. Some parcels were extremely narrow—20 to 30 meters. Landinrichtingsdienst, Ministerie van Landbouw en Visserij, The River District, at 15 (no date).
improved, for example, with larger parcels of land and with improved form. Increase in size sometimes means removing hedges and unnecessary canals; improvement in shape involves designing, among other things, an optimum length-width relationship, which can affect the time required for field work. Moreover, efficiency also requires that the distance between the farmhouse and parcel (or parcels) be reduced. Significant cost savings for the farmer result from the more efficient working conditions achieved through ruilverkaveling. In addition, improved parcel layout often results in more land available for cultivation and thus higher yields.45 Besides improvements to individual parcels, the process also focuses on better access and improved water management.46 Indeed, from a more general perspective, the process of land development makes an area more suitable for the functions it must fulfill.47

The process of land development in the Netherlands has evolved48 over the years from the agriculturally-directed procedures accompanying ruilverkaveling (consolidation) to a comprehensive scheme of landinrichting or land development. Land consolidation expanded rapidly into a "complete reconstruction of rural areas and became a cornerstone in the agricultural-structure policy of the government."49 But land development now aims beyond purely agrarian goals. Indeed, one factor that led to the recent change from ruilverkaveling to landinrichting was the failure of earlier legislative schemes to accommodate nonagricultural interests. This process of land development is now an important method for improving working conditions and raising incomes in agriculture and horticulture, for conserving and developing nature and landscape values, for improving opportunities for outdoor recreation, and other factors that improve living and working circumstances in the countryside. It also includes activities and measures that change the arrangement of the rural area: construction of roads or water-courses, and exchange of ownership of land.50

45. T. Tanis, Methode voor berekening van baten van landinrichting voor akkerbouw-bedrijven, at 5-7 (Landinrichtingsdienst, Mededeling No. 150, 1984).
46. Dam, Mr. Ph.A.N. Houwing en het ruilverkavelingsrecht, 46 Agrarisch recht 387, 388 (1986) [hereinafter cited as Houwing].
47. H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten van Landinrichtingsprojecten in Nederland 3 (1986) [hereinafter cited as Kosten en Effecten].
48. See infra text accompanying notes 63-116.
49. Manten, Rural Landscape Planning, supra note 38, at 204.
50. Landinrichtingsdienst, Ministerie van Landbouw en Visserij, De Landinrichtingswet: Een samenvatting van de hoofdzaken, at 1 (no date) [hereinafter cited as De Landinrichtingswet].
C. Extent of Land Development

In the half century during which land development (in rudimentary or more comprehensive form) has been carried out, the structure of the Dutch countryside has been altered significantly. By the end of 1985, 345 ruilverkaveling and other land development projects had been completed on 837,000 hectares. Another 110 projects, involving almost 663,000 hectares were in progress, with others (71 projects involving 374,000 hectares) in preparation. Projects had also been requested for additional land. Thus, land development has touched over half of the rural area in the Netherlands.51

Moreover, a significant number of hectares remain scheduled for land development. Maps accompanying the Outline Plan for Land Development82 identify a further 695,000 hectares for possible development, and small quantities of additional land not indicated on the maps may also be developed.83 Each year the land development process begins on thousands of hectares. In recent years, however, this annual level has declined from its peak of around 55,000 hectares in 1973. In 1975, a goal of 40,000 hectares per year was established, but cutbacks have reduced that figure to the level of 36,000 hectares for 1985 and beyond. Budgetary considerations may require further reductions.84 Despite these recent developments, however, about one-third of the rural area is currently subject to land development, either in preparation or in execution.85 Moreover, with a cultivated ground surface of 2.2 million hectares, continued land development at the present rate means that every hectare of cultivated ground could be developed within a human lifetime.86

---

51. CENTRALE CULTUURTECHNISCHE COMMISSIE, CENTRALE LANDINRICHTINGSCOMMISSIE, LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, JAARVERSLAG 1985, at 4, 19 [hereinafter cited as LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, JAARVERSLAG 1985]. Due to the ongoing nature of land development, land already involved in older projects may be developed anew. Thus the figures represent some overlap. See also H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 3; Kramer, Van Ruilverkavelingswet naar Landinrichtingswet, 16 Bedrijfsontwikkeling 237, 237 (1985) [hereinafter cited as Ruilverkavelingswet].

52. See infra, text accompanying notes 147-168, for discussion of the Outline Plan, or Structuurschema Landinrichting.


54. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 6; H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 3-4.


Land development at this level requires a significant commitment of Dutch resources. Each year, the central government contributes about 250 million guilders to land development projects; other public bodies and the benefitting farmers contribute lesser amounts. Together these contributions are substantial. In 1985, for example, the level of investment for the various aspects of land development projects reached 469.8 million guilders. This included state contributions for ruilverkaveling and other projects, as well as contributions of water control boards and municipalities.

Land consolidation, to which the Dutch have devoted so much legal, physical, and financial attention, is not unique to the Netherlands. Indeed, a relatively large number of countries have land consolidation legislation of some type. But the Dutch are relatively unusual for carrying out land development projects on such a comprehensive and expensive scale. The average Dutch project involves 6000 hectares, as compared with 1500 in Belgium and 800 in West Germany. Moreover, through a series of improved laws enacted over a fifty-year period, the Dutch have developed a comprehensive legislative program, coordinated with other aspects of national physical planning policy.

Thus, the legally regulated process of Dutch land consolidation presents a fascinating glimpse into a thoughtful process for developing favorable agrarian structures. In addition, it offers a viable solution to the problems of distorted farmland parcelling that occur, in the United States as well as in the Netherlands and other nations, when a major infrastructural development like a highway intrudes into an agricultural region.

57. H. Bosma, Evaluation in Advance of the Effects of Land Development Projects in the Netherlands, at 1 (Landinrichtingsdienst, Information Paper No. 6 (no date)).
59. The roots of land consolidation can be traced to thirteenth-century England; other areas had similar procedures in the seventeenth century, and an early German law dates from 1718. Quadflieg, Flurbereinigungsrecht, col. 631, in I Handwörterbuch des Agrarrechts (1981). An early law for land reallotment was enacted in Prussia in 1872. Manten, Rural Landscape Planning, supra note 38, at 198.

For a rather dated summary of national land reallotment legislation, including a list of laws, see P. Moral-Lopez, Principles of Land Consolidation, supra note 36. See also Hieselaar, The Need to Develop Rural Areas, 57 Land & Water Int'l 11 (1986).
60. H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 4. The investment per hectare in the Netherlands is approximately 8000 guilders, in contrast with a Belgian investment of 70,000 Belgian francs and a West German investment of 3,000 Deutsch marks.
Accordingly, this article provides an analysis of the complex process of land development in the Netherlands and the policies the process seeks to further. After an historical review of land development legislation, the article focuses on the 1985 Landinrichtingswet (land development law) and the enhanced possibilities for land development that it offers. The article analyzes the policy background as well as the legal and administrative arrangements for decisionmaking about land development. In addition, it explores the legal mechanisms for carrying out the lengthy process of reorganization of the rural land structure, including development of infrastructure, improvement of land parcels, exchange of those parcels among owners, and allocation of costs. Besides focusing on the typical land development methods, the article also explores special procedures available to facilitate voluntary land exchanges and to accommodate intrusive infrastructure developments.

II. THE EVOLUTION OF LAND DEVELOPMENT

The desirability of an optimal land arrangement for agriculture has long been evident to farmers in the Netherlands. As early as 1838, in a local almanac, it was indicated that improvement of the ground and its layout was desirable, and that the government should assume some responsibility through legal regulation and financial subsidy. Achievement of this legislative government involvement, however, took decades.

Early in the twentieth century, ruilverkaveling (land consolidation) had occurred to a limited extent. These first ruilverkaveling projects, which affected only small land areas, could be carried out only with the consent of the landowners involved. The entirely voluntary aspect of the agreements meant that the refusal of some landowners—or even of one landowner—to join in the consolidation guaranteed the failure of the whole project, even in instances in which the existing land ownership situation made consolidation imperative. Thus a method to compel participation of reluctant land-
owners was required.

A. The 1924 Law

Such a method became effective in the first land consolidation act, the Ruilverkavelingswet 1924. The goal of the law was primarily agricultural; land consolidation was designed "for the promotion of agriculture." This included arable farming, horticulture, forestry, livestock raising, and peat cutting. The aim of the law was fairly simple: to bring ground owners and users face to face with reallocation of agricultural land in a reasonable way. This reallocation primarily involved the exchange of parcels of land so that participating farmers would receive more practical and better situated farming units.

Under the 1924 law, the majority could force the minority to participate in land consolidation. One quarter of the concerned landowners could submit a request for consolidation. But for implementation of the project, consent of a "double majority" was required. That is, the proposed consolidation had to be approved both by more than half of registered owners or rightful claimants and by more than half of the land area represented in the project. Moreover, those who did not participate in the vote were deemed to have consented to the consolidation.

Although the initial impetus for the law was agricultural, the ag-
The agricultural interests promoted by the law were consistent with other needs of society. Both the reliable provision of food and the efficiency of the agricultural industry could support the general economic well-being of the country. In addition, as land consolidation projects began to focus more on technical improvements (for example, leveling and draining) rather than merely exchange of parcels, the projects also helped to provide jobs in partial solution to existing unemployment.

The 1924 law was not entirely successful; from 1924 until 1936 only thirty-six applications for land consolidation had been made, involving 11,821 hectares of land. Several reasons help to explain the slow progress under the early law. Farmers and landowners held false notions and feared application of the law. Particularly in light of these hesitations, the requirement of approval of a double majority (voters and land surface) often ensured failure of a proposed consolidation. Even when a project was approved, the long duration of implementation sometimes led to neglect of agricultural land that would later be assigned to another farmer. Moreover, most of the expenses of land consolidation were at first imposed on the landowners themselves, who had to repay these costs over only ten years.

B. The 1938 Law

These shortcomings of the 1924 law led to the second legislative effort, the Ruilverkavelingswet 1938, another law with primarily agricultural purposes. Indeed, in the 1938 legislation, as in the 1924 law, nonagricultural parcels were not included in the block of land for which consolidation took place. Nevertheless, the law

73. Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 12-13. In this era, particularly in the early 1930s, subsidies from government became important.

74. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XIII. Between 1924 and 1940, only 32 projects were actually realized, involving a total of 11,150 hectares. Manten, Rural Landscape Planning, supra note 38, at 200.

75. Manten, Rural Landscape Planning, supra note 38, at 200. Costs were paid with an annuity of 13.6%.

Other problems with the law also existed: the omission of the power for corporate bodies to request consolidation, the undesirably late time of realization of the plan for roads and watercourses, and the vagueness of financial provisions. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XIII.

76. Stb. 1938, 618.

77. Article 2 of the law, quoted in Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 13 states: "Ruilverkaveling occurs from power of an agreement or from power of law for promotion of arable farming, horticulture, forestry, livestock breeding, or peat cutting."

78. Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 14-15. In 1941, the law was amended to include nonagricultural parcels in the block when inclusion was necessary for infrastructural
also provided for more general interests that supported, rather than opposed, agriculture. Reflecting the importance of land development for the general economic well-being of Dutch society, the 1938 law made a decision for *ruilverkaveling* easier to reach. Only one-fifth of the relevant owners needed to submit a request for *ruilverkaveling*. In addition, public bodies and agricultural organizations could initiate the process.\textsuperscript{79} The ensuing vote on the proposed project would be successful with only a single majority, rather than the double majority required under prior law. That is, a project could be approved by either a majority of persons voting or a majority of the ground surface represented in the vote.\textsuperscript{80} In some cases of compelling need, a project could be enforced even without the majority vote.\textsuperscript{81}

The 1938 law also included legal rules for consensual *ruilverkaveling*. Though voluntary agreements had occurred early in the century, the 1924 law did not include provisions for this form of land consolidation.\textsuperscript{82} Only after 1950, however, were these rules used on a rather large scale.\textsuperscript{83}

The nature of *ruilverkaveling* projects broadened somewhat under the 1938 law. Improvements in the size, shape, and accessibility of parcels of farmland remained a primary goal. Construction, improvement, and relocation of roads and waterways also continued. In addition, the projects could include soil improvement, drainage, reclamation, and impoldering.\textsuperscript{84} Gradually more attention was paid to provision of utilities through laying of gas, electric, and water lines. Increasing mechanization, particularly after the second world war, meant significant cost savings.\textsuperscript{85}

The financial provisions of the 1938 law were more attractive because the costs of *ruilverkaveling* were no longer borne primarily by farmers. The government assumed responsibility for a large share and provided subsidies for infrastructure development as relief from unemployment. Moreover, sums advanced for farmers’ share of costs could now be repaid over thirty (rather than ten) years.\textsuperscript{86} Thus, the number of applications increased. After the Sec-

\textsuperscript{79} Manten, *Rural Landscape Planning*, supra note 38, at 200.
\textsuperscript{80} Dam, *Doelstelling*, supra note 34, at 13.
\textsuperscript{81} Dam, *Doelstelling*, supra note 34, at 13.
\textsuperscript{82} Kramer, *Inleiding*, supra note 42, at XIII.
\textsuperscript{83} Eshuis, *Landverlegging*, supra note 65, at 60.
\textsuperscript{84} Manten, *Rural Landscape Planning*, supra note 38, at 202.
\textsuperscript{85} Dam, *Doelstelling*, supra note 34, at 14.
\textsuperscript{86} Manten, *Rural Landscape Planning*, supra note 38, at 200-202. The annuity pay-
ond World War, when Dutch farmers were particularly interested in land consolidation, the 1938 law became effective on a large scale. 87 Nonetheless, the 1938 law eventually proved inadequate. This inadequacy was made particularly clear when the sea dikes of the island of Walcheren 88 were devastated by bombing during the Second World War, and later when large areas of the southwestern Netherlands were inundated by a flood disaster in 1953. Problems with the 1938 law in these crises stemmed in part from the destruction of the existing situation from which the land consolidation would ordinarily begin. 89 Thus, special laws were enacted to facilitate reconstruction of these regions. 90 These laws aimed at the recovery of agriculture, horticulture, forestry, and livestock breeding through the reallocation of land. But the provisions of the laws were not limited to recovery of cultivated land and farms; they also focused on reorganization of businesses for the purpose of a practical management. In addition, large scale replanting was necessary, as well as improvement of existing roads and construction of new roads. 91 Several new elements were introduced in the Walcheren law. Among these were relocation of farms (including relocation to other areas of the Netherlands), increase in size of farm businesses, and special attention for landscape protection. 92 Under this more comprehensive legal framework, the work in Walcheren provided important lessons for the later evolution from relatively simple exchanges of farmland to the more comprehensive "landinrichting," or land development. 93

It was clear from years of experience under the 1938 law and from application of these special laws that improvements in the generally applicable legal instruments for land consolidation were still required. The improvements made in the special laws indicate
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87. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XIII.
88. Located in Zeeland province in the southwestern Netherlands.
89. Manten, Rural Landscape Planning, supra note 38, at 202.
91. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XIV.
92. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XIV; Eshuis, Landverlegging, supra note 65, at 60. In addition, tenure rules were included; if necessary ground users could be relocated to the Ijsselmeer polders. The law required no vote of owners to approve the land consolidation.
93. Eshuis, Landverlegging, supra note 65, at 61. The Walcheren law referred to herverkaveling (re-parcelling) instead of ruitverkaveling.
some of the areas of deficiency. In addition, there was need for a faster realization of the practical results of ruilverkaveling, as well as for better regulation of the rights of renters. The relationship of ruilverkaveling with physical planning and with landscape protection needed to be more specific. Also, need existed for a means to assign land to public bodies for nonagricultural purposes.94

C. The 1954 Law

As a result of these shortcomings, the Ruilverkavelingswet 1954 was enacted. This was the third such law in only thirty years.95 Reflecting developments over those 30 years, the goal of this law was somewhat broader than the earlier focus on the promotion of agriculture. The 1954 law stated as its goal the “protection of the interests of arable farming, horticulture, forestry, or livestock breeding.”96 Though this protection of agricultural interests remained an overriding concern, the law took account of other public purposes. Land involving up to five percent of the total project territory could be set aside for other social purposes, but only insofar as this was in agreement with the goal of ruilverkaveling.97 This provision recognized the inevitability of some changes in the use of the land, but was designed to anticipate those changes so they would not later interfere with the newly allocated land arrangement.98 It also attempted to ensure that the necessary adaptations in land use were as consistent as possible with the interests of agriculture.99 In addition, under this approach the loss of agricultural land for public uses was shared by all the owners in the ruilverkaveling project.100 As the public interests of nature, landscape protection, recreation, and city or village renewal gradually played an increasing role in ruilverkaveling, however, the five percent limitation sometimes impeded achievement of these public purposes.101

94. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XV.
95. Stb. 1954, 510. An important amendment in 1975, Stb. 1975, 206, changed the voting procedure from a vote held at a general meeting to an election. Also, those not voting were no longer deemed to have consented to the project. Joustra, Algemene Inleiding, at 22-24, in Ruilverkavelingswet 1954, Ned. Staats. 101-I (1984).
98. See Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 14.
99. Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 17.
100. Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XV.
101. Dam, Doelstelling, supra note 34, at 18. Voluntary transfers to a government body, the Stichting Beheer Landbouwgronden (now the Bureau Beheer Landbouwgronden),
Beginning in 1958, ruilverkaveling took place according to the provisions of multi-year plans. As the demand for land consolidation grew during the 1950s, the number of requests exceeded the capacity for carrying out the projects. Thus, a method of establishing priorities was demanded. The multi-year planning effort was a factor in the government decision for a program involving 40,000 hectares per year.

Other changes in approach also occurred. For example, after 1965, ruilverkaveling was carried out in closer coordination with provincial policies of physical planning; plans for land consolidation were established on the basis of, or in connection with, the relevant streekplan (regional plan). In addition, land was obtained by the Stichting Beheer Landbouwgronden (now the Bureau Beheer Landbouwgronden) not only for agrarian, but also for nonagricultural, purposes. Later, application was given to policies to protect nature and landscape reflected in the important memorandum on the relationship of farming and nature areas.

Under the improved legal regulation, ruilverkaveling projects have greatly increased in size. Before the Second World War, the normal size of blocks of land that made up a project was 400 to 500 hectares. Between 1970 and 1973, in contrast, a size of 6,000 to 7,000 hectares was the norm, with the possibility of projects involving over 20,000 hectares.

In the years since 1954, societal developments have led to changes in the functions and value of rural areas. Among these changes are included the expansion of towns, increasing mobility, higher recreation needs, and the enhanced value assigned to nature and landscape. Thus an increasing number of interest groups have claimed some connection with the countryside and the right to use or protect it. Competing interests have placed higher demands on the process of ruilverkaveling and have altered public expectations.
of the results of land consolidation. In addition to provisions for the protection of agriculture, greater attention must now be paid to nature, landscape, recreation, and other public purposes.\(^{107}\)

The predominately agrarian goal of the 1954 law was abandoned in land development laws enacted in 1977 to solve problems existing in two specific areas of the Netherlands. One of these laws, for Delft in the densely populated West of Holland, was intended to promote good physical planning (in part, through creation of a buffer zone) and in addition to protect the interests of agriculture, nature, landscape, and open air recreation.\(^{108}\) The other law for the Groningen area in the North plagued with unemployment, was directed at promotion of both a good living, housing, and work climate and economic and social development.\(^{109}\) For these projects, the *Ruilverkavelingswet* 1954 was deemed inadequate. For example, for the Delft area, land needed for public purposes approached thirty percent of the total area, far above the five percent limit imposed by the *Ruilverkavelingswet*.\(^{110}\) Moreover, the project could not depend on the cooperation (that is, the positive vote) of the landowners, as required in the 1954 law.\(^{111}\) In the Groningen area, a coordinated and integrated treatment of a number of diverse social interests required special legal provisions, especially in light of the nonagricultural nature of many of the interests.\(^{112}\) These two special laws introduced new approaches. They regulated the relationship with physical planning, in which the provincial administration plays an important role. They provided for public participation. Moreover, the laws focused on the management and maintenance of nature areas and elements with landscape, recreational, cultural-historical, and natural-scientific value.\(^{113}\)

Thus, again, the existing legal arrangements for land development were found inadequate. The 1954 law had several serious
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108. Reconstructiewet Midden-Delfland, Stb. 1977, 233 (involving 6,000 hectares, and intended to promote the important physical planning goal of creating a buffer zone in a heavily populated area). See art. 2, Ned. Staats. 101-II (1987).
shortcomings, some of which have been suggested by the preceding discussion. First, given the increasing demands on rural areas, the goal of the law—the protection of agricultural interests—had become much too limited. Second, the law lacked specific provisions to regulate the coordination of land development with physical planning for a geographic area. Third, the decision to proceed with a land consolidation project depended on the vote of the ground owners and users,\footnote{But see Ruilverkavelingswet 1954, art. 44, which permits a government decision for ruilverkaveling in cases of pressing need, even when the required majority is lacking.} whereas the interweaving of various land use functions in the rural areas sometimes made the possibility of an administrative decision desirable. Fourth, the five percent limitation on the land that could be assigned to public bodies was inadequate, given the changes in ownership and use of land necessitated by the varied functions demanded in rural areas. Fifth, the law lacked a procedure for assigning the ownership of lands with natural, landscape, or cultural-historical value to public bodies or other legal entities.\footnote{Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XVIII-XIX.} Finally, the participation and appeal possibilities under the law were inadequate.\footnote{Kramer, Ruilverkavelingswet, supra note 51, at 238.}

\textbf{D. Toward the Landinrichtingswet}

Like the process of ruilverkaveling itself, the latest major change in Dutch land development, the 1985 \textit{Landinrichtingswet}, enjoyed a long gestation period. Developments in the densely populated nation made it clear that the countryside, so essential for its continued agricultural production, would face increasing pressure from urbanization as well as from nature and landscape protection interests. Recognizing the need for an integrated use of the countryside, in 1972 the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries articulated several goals for the development of rural areas: a rational and economically justifiable agrarian management; a socially acceptable living, working, and housing climate in the country; a maximum shared use of the country by the entire nonagrarian population; and the preservation of a natural environment that is as varied as possible.\footnote{Minister van Landbouw en Visserij, Memorie van toelichting op de begroting, 1972, at 41, cited in Kramer, Inleiding, supra note 42, at XI. See also A. CRIJNS, REGULATIVE PHASE, supra note 15, at 4.}

Shortly thereafter, an interdepartmental committee was appointed with the task of drafting a land development act to replace...
the 1954 law. The committee had the benefit of experience gained through the special laws enacted in 1977. In March 1979, the committee made its recommendation to the government, and in November 1979 a draft of the new law was introduced into the Second Chamber of Parliament. After a lengthy parliamentary treatment, the new law, the Landinrichtingswet was published in June 1985, to be effective 15 October 1985.

The major differences between the 1954 law and the Landinrichtingswet indicate that the new law has attempted to remedy some of the deficiencies of prior law. The new law is broader in scope, to recognize the many functions competing for recognition in the countryside. It also establishes a legal basis for the important interconnection between physical planning and land development. The law makes available several forms of land development, tailored to remedy different problems and primary land uses. It offers the possibility of a simple or more complex preparation process, and assigns the provincial administration a significant role in the land development process. Participation of interested parties and consultation with municipalities and waterboards are regulated. In addition, the Landinrichtingswet demands an evaluation of the effects expected from a proposed project.

III. THE LANDINRICHTINGSWET: SOME BACKGROUND

A. Purpose of the Law and Types of Land Development

The broadened purpose of the Land Development Act is immediately apparent from the language of article four of the law: "Land development strives toward the improvement of the countryside in conformity with the functions of that area, as these are specified in
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118. The committee was created by decree of 25 October 1972, Stcr. 214, by the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries and the Minister of Housing and Physical Planning.
119. On the system of Parliament in the Netherlands, see supra note 6.
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the framework of physical planning." This clear statement of purpose establishes two significant philosophies: that the countryside must accommodate several land-use functions, and that close correspondence of land development with physical planning is essential. The former philosophy is elaborated by the provision that land development can include, but is not limited to, measures and provisions for arable agriculture, horticulture, and forestry; nature and landscape; infrastructure; open air recreation; and cultural history. The latter is implemented in the law by numerous requirements for coordination of land development decisions with physical planning decisionmaking authorities.

1. Types of Land Development

The Landinrichtingswet is designed to accommodate varied, and often conflicting, interests. These interests require different land development approaches, and even different decisionmaking processes. Thus, the law includes four statutory types of land development: redevelopment (herinrichting); consolidation (ruilverkaveling); readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting); and consolidation by agreement (ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst). The law provides guidelines for the situations in which each instrument is appropriate, and specifies measures and provisions to govern each instrument.

Herinrichting and ruilverkaveling are the most important instruments of land development. The choice between these methods in a specific situation is influenced by the functions assigned to the relevant location in the physical planning process. Ruilverkaveling, modeled after land consolidation methods long used in the Netherlands, has been recognized as an important instrument in developing policy under the law.

Areas for which land development is possible are noted on a policy map accompanying the Structuurschema Landinrichting, supra note 53. Only about 30 percent of the land on the policy map was chosen on account of a priority only from agriculture. Another 30 percent was chosen with no priority for agriculture; the remaining 40 percent involved a combination of agriculture and other important policies, like nature and landscape or urban sphere of influence. Of course, farmers live in all the areas; 80 percent of the rural area involves farms.

Thus, much of the discussion in this article will focus on these instruments.
lands, is intended for areas in which agriculture is the primary function, and in which other functions are less important. It usually involves reallocation of land in the entire area, either as a whole or in smaller units.

Redevelopment (herinrichting), in contrast, is designed for areas in which potentially conflicting land uses must coexist; that is, for land that fulfills—or must in the future fulfill—important nonagricultural functions in addition to agriculture. Thus, herinrichting is appropriate for areas within the urban sphere of influence, as well as for areas with important nature and landscape values. Regions with alternating agriculture, nature, or other functions are suitable for either redevelopment or consolidation. Reallocation of land will normally occur in the area, or in part of the area, subject to redevelopment, but redevelopment can proceed without reallocation.

Although the two types of land development are quite similar, several crucial differences exist, particularly in the decisionmaking process and in the mechanism for obtaining land for infrastructure improvements and other purposes. Thus, the choice between redevelopment and consolidation for an area being considered for land development must be made at an early stage in the preparation process.

Readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting), a new procedure in Dutch law, will be used less frequently. Related to a similar procedure in West German law, it is designed to be used in conjunction with an infrastructural improvement or development of national or regional importance (for example, a road, a canal, or a landing strip), to modify the unfavorable land-use effects of the infrastructural project. The goal of readaptation is to reduce the costs of miti-
gating the harmful consequences often associated with infrastructural projects; only secondarily is the process intended to improve the parcelling of agricultural land. The exchange of land in conjunction with the infrastructure project can, for example, avoid the expense of building additional access viaducts, as well as the cost of compensating landowners for damages caused by changes in the shape and relationship of their land parcels. 138

The final type of land development authorized by the Landinrichtingswet, consolidation by agreement (ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst), regulates the procedure by which a small number of landowners voluntarily exchange land to achieve better parcelling. 139 The procedure, also available under the 1954 law, involves three or more landowners. It is an efficient and inexpensive way to improve farmers' situations, when only a few owners or users and a small amount of land are involved. 140

2. Responsible Organizations

Several special government entities are instrumental in carrying out the various phases of these often-complicated land development procedures. The Landinrichtingswet establishes the Central Land Development Committee (Centrale Landinrichtings Commissie), and assigns to this Committee important functions. 141 This Committee is the successor to the organization called Centrale Cultuurt-technische Commissie under the 1954 Ruilverkavelingswet, and its responsibilities are similar. 142 The Central Committee advises the national government on land development policy and supervises land development projects. It includes a maximum of twenty members, who represent the Ministers; authorities of the provinces, municipalities, and polders; and organizations promoting the interests of agriculture, forestry, nature and landscape conservation, and open air recreation. 143 In addition to the Central Committee, each...
area slated for land development has a local land development committee with special statutory responsibilities for overseeing the project in that area.\textsuperscript{144}

The Government Service for Land and Water Use (\textit{Landinrichtingsdienst}) is the government agency responsible for implementing land development policy.\textsuperscript{146} As a sub-unit of the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, the \textit{Landinrichtingsdienst} plays a crucial role in the work of the Central Committee and in executing and supervising land development projects. The Service has nearly 700 employees in its central directorate and in the provinces.\textsuperscript{148}

Other agencies play a role as well. For example, the Land Registry Office (\textit{Kadaster}) is active in preparing the plan of reallocation, and the Bureau for Agricultural Land Management (\textit{Bureau Beheer Landbouwgronden}) acquires the land needed for development projects. It is also significant, as the ensuing discussion will indicate, that various levels of government enjoy decisionmaking responsibility in the land development process.

\section*{B. Policy Background: The Structuurschema Landinrichting}

Land development in the Netherlands takes place in the context of comprehensive policy planning. This policy is articulated in the \textit{Outline Plan for Land Development (Structuurschema Landinrichting)}.\textsuperscript{147} The \textit{Structuurschema} is mandated by article six of the \textit{Landinrichtingswet}, which specifies that the document will contain the main principles governing national land development policy and provide special insight into the spatial aspects of that policy.\textsuperscript{148} The \textit{Outline Plan} is viewed as a Key Planning Deci-

\textsuperscript{144} LIW, art. 27. The local committee normally has 7 members, but may be larger. \textit{Id.}, art. 28, lid 1, 2. Rules for the local committee, authorized by LIW, art. 32, are the Regeling werkwijze Landinrichtingscommissie, Stcr. 1985, 217, established by the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries after consultation with the Central Committee.

The local committee is similar to the \textit{plaatselijke commissie} established pursuant to article 51 of the \textit{Ruilverkavelingswet} 1954.

\textsuperscript{145} The agency was formed in 1935, with the name \textit{Cultuurtechnische Dienst. Landinrichtingsdienst}, \textit{Jaarverslag} 1985, \textit{supra} note 51, at 3.

\textsuperscript{146} \textit{Landinrichtingsdienst}, \textit{Jaarverslag} 1985, \textit{supra} note 51, at 99, states that there are over 800 employees. The current number, according to A.M. Burger of the Landinrichtingsdienst, is approximately 670.


\textsuperscript{148} LIW, art. 6.
cession (Planologische kernbeslissing), and was adopted only after implementation of a public-participation system designed to facilitate nationally important planning decisions.\footnote{The Key Planning Decision procedure, used since about 1972 without legislative requirement, was incorporated into the Wet op de Ruimtelijke Ordening with the 1985 amendments to that law. Ned. Staats. 64 (1986). After widespread publicity on a policy proposal, members of the public have time to express views on the policy. Comments are also sought from advisory bodies, provincial governments, and sometime municipal authorities. After a consideration of the views expressed, the government decision is published. During the following period, the Second Chamber of the Parliament may discuss the issue with the government, and amendments may be made. The plan cannot be effective without approval of the Second Chamber. In addition, the First Chamber must also approve the plan. (It is deemed approved by this Chamber if the Chamber does not expressly decide to treat it within one month of receipt. Wet op de Ruimtelijke Ordening, art. 2a, Ned. Staats. 64 (1986). See Brussaard, Planologische kernbeslissingen: Over vorm en procedure van belangrijke beleidsbeslissingen op nationaal niveau, at 25-41 in VAKGROEP AGRARISCH RECHT, LANDBOUWUNIVERSITEIT WAGENINGEN, RECHT IN ONTWIKKELING (1986). See also W. BRUSSAARD, RULES supra note 23 at 37-39.} The important policies articulated in the Outline Plan are intended to direct land development activities between 1985 and 1994. Major policy changes are envisioned only through revision of the Outline Plan.\footnote{Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 4.}

1. Objectives of Land Development

The starting point of the Outline Plan is the principle aim of national land development policy: “the development, within the overall framework of government policy, of parts of the rural area in accordance with the functions attached to them and their mutual interconnection in such a way that the social significance of that area can be maximized as well as possible.”\footnote{Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 4: “Het binnen het kader van het totale overheidsbeleid inrichten van delen van het landelijk gebied overeenkomstig de daaraan toegekende functies en hun onderlinge samenhang op een zodanige wijze, dat de maatschappelijke betekenis van dat gebied zo goed mogelijk tot zijn recht kan komen.”} This rather broad national policy is elaborated into a number of other, more limited objectives that focus on both agricultural and nonagricultural considerations.

Agricultural objectives include the maintenance or improvement of the competitive position of agriculture and horticulture; the elimination or reduction of regional deficiencies in income; the improvement of working conditions; the broadening of long-term options for land use; the improvement of water control; and the improvement of the parcelling situation, directed toward an efficient use of ground. Other goals include the achievement of safe and effective access to the rural areas, and the adaptation of the construction and improvement of infrastructural facilities to the development of
the countryside.

In addition, the objectives demonstrate other goals of land development. Both the support of national urbanization policy and improvement in the quality of village life are among these. Another objective is to contribute to realization of national policy with respect to open air recreation. Nature interests are not neglected. Objectives include improving the quality of the landscape; safeguarding, developing, and managing natural areas and cultural-historical features; and developing, improving, and managing woodlands.\(^{152}\)

As the Outline Plan makes clear, these objectives can be realized only in specific land development projects, which are intended to eliminate problems caused by the existing land arrangement for the functions of the countryside. National land development policy aims at a "nuanced realization of objectives."\(^{153}\) Policy goals thus help to put these rather detailed objectives into perspective. For agriculture and horticulture, the emphasis of land development as an instrument of agricultural structural policy will focus on elimination or reduction of regional income deficiencies and improvement of working conditions. With respect to urbanization policy, emphasis will be placed on areas within the sphere of urban influence, with an aim of preserving buffer zones. For nature and landscape in rural areas, accent will be placed on improving landscape quality, as well as contributing to the maintenance, development, and management of nature areas and valuable cultural landscapes. Finally, in connection with improvement of living and housing conditions, emphasis will be focused on effective and safe access to the rural areas.\(^{154}\)

To implement these goals and priorities, the government plans to engage in land development activity at the average rate of 36,000 hectares per year. This total includes comprehensive land development projects under the Landinrichtingswet,\(^{155}\) as well as activities under laws applicable for special regions or land development problems. Budgetary considerations in the future may limit the amount of land that can be developed.\(^{156}\)
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155. These include herinrichting, rulverkaveling, and aanpassingsinrichting projects. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 5.
156. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 5-6.
2. The Policy Map

An integral part of the Outline Plan is the policy map that identifies areas to be considered for land development. These are regions that will benefit most clearly from land development and where implementation of projects will further policy goals. The policy map includes three parts. Part A, designating areas with priority for agriculture and horticulture, includes approximately 495,000 hectares of land. Part B, areas located within the urban sphere of influence, includes approximately 280,000 hectares. Part C, areas with disharmony between landscape quality and land-use function, includes 385,000 hectares. Because some land is included in two or three of these parts, there is overlap in the designated areas; when this overlap is eliminated, the total area that will be considered for land development under the Outline Plan is some 695,000 hectares.

The regions specified in the policy map are outlined only approximately, and more precise boundaries must be established. The policy map is both indicative and limitative. Provincial authorities, who ultimately designate the areas for land development, must decide whether land development is the appropriate mechanism for solving the problems of the indicated areas. The map is limitative in the sense that it defines the areas from which provincial authorities generally must select in recommending land for inclusion on the Preparatory Outline Scheme for Land Development (Voorbereidingsschema Landinrichting), an annually-revised register of projects. When the provinces suggest more land for development in a given year than is desirable, priority will be given to land where the proposed project reflects several main lines of policy, has potential for significant realization of policy goals, or will involve

---

157. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 6, 11, 13. The policy concerning agricultural structures led to the inclusion of areas where the land arrangement situation can be improved substantially and where, from the standpoint of income deficiencies and working conditions, a considerable urgency exists. Urbanization and outdoor recreation policies have led to inclusion of areas within the sphere of urban influence. Policies on nature and landscape protection and landscape planning have directed the identification of areas where land development can contribute substantially to the elimination or decrease in a significant disharmony between landscapes and their functions. Id. at 6.

158. These figures, from 1984, are taken from Crijs & Addink, Structuurschema landinrichting, 16 BEDRIJFSONTWIKKELING 239, 242 (1985).

159. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 6. On the Preparatory Outline Scheme, see infra text accompanying notes 175-83. But small areas not on the map may also be considered. An average of 1000 hectares per year may be developed, even if they are not on the map, if they comport with the main lines of policy. And an additional average of 5000 hectares may be included if the government's share of the costs is quite minimal. Id. at 6-7.
the consumption of relatively few resources.\textsuperscript{160}

3. Criteria for Selecting Forms of Land Development

The Outline Plan provides general guidelines to govern the choice among the types of land development authorized in the Landinrichtingswet. The choice between consolidation (ruilverkaveling) and redevelopment (herinrichting) is most difficult, and the functions of the area in the framework of physical planning are often determinative. Thus, areas where agriculture is the primary function will normally require consolidation. Regions with alternating agriculture, nature, and other functions are suitable for either redevelopment or consolidation. Where nature or landscape protection is the primary function, redevelopment is likely to be the appropriate treatment. Redevelopment will also be the usual approach in areas within the urban sphere of influence.\textsuperscript{161}

Readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting) raises fewer questions. This type of land development is intended for situations in which infrastructural facilities (for example, new highways or airports) of national or regional importance have adverse effects on the transportation system, agricultural land use, nature, landscape, or outdoor recreation. But readaptation is appropriate in these situations only when land development can minimize the adverse effects of infrastructure projects. Areas appropriate for readaptation are not included on the policy map of the Outline Plan because readaptation projects are supplemental and follow the infrastructural developments.\textsuperscript{162}

4. Land Development Factors

Land development involves measures and provisions directed at four main factors: access, water control, parcelling, and landscape. The Outline Plan sets out policy with respect to these factors.

Policy with respect to access—that is, traffic and transport on country roads—is linked with overall planning policy for rural areas. One emphasis is on safe and effective access to the countryside, which may include road construction or improvement and construction of bicycle paths. With regard to agriculture and horticulture, improvements are to be directed toward good accessibility to farm

\textsuperscript{160} Structuurschema, \textit{supra} note 53, at 7.

\textsuperscript{161} Structuurschema, \textit{supra} note 53, at 7.

\textsuperscript{162} Structuurschema, \textit{supra} note 53, at 7.
buildings and land, but without neglecting nature and landscape values. 163

Measures concerning water control will contribute to the various functions of the area, including agriculture and horticulture, nature and landscape protection, forestry and landscape planning, and open-air recreation. Considerations may include the provision of drinking and industrial water. Moreover, harmful influences on nature and landscape values are to be avoided, and nature areas and reserves are to be protected. 164

Parcelling of agricultural land has long been an important factor in land development. In this connection the Outline Plan specifies that reparcelling should promote concentration of land use as much as possible and contribute to the various land-use functions of the area. Where agriculture, nature, and other functions alternate in small spatial units, reparcelling must ensure both that agriculture and nature values are accommodated and that effective farm management is not hindered. Relocation of farmers, in connection with the public interest, should improve the location of farm buildings in relationship with the land as well as relieve adverse effects on nonagrarian interests. When a small number of participants are willing to exchange ground to achieve a quick improvement in their parcelling situation, the policy is directed toward promotion of land exchange (kavelruil). 165

Landscape is the final important factor, and here the policy is directed to the building of a harmonious landscape structure. The quality of the landscape is to be closely regarded in the preparation and performance of land development projects. Measures concerning access, water control, and parcelling must consider landscape quality. 166

5. Evaluation

The Landinrichtingswet requires an evaluation of redevelopment and consolidation projects. 167 The evaluation is to describe consequences of the project for the economic situation, including work opportunities, living and working conditions, nature and landscape, and the quality of water, land, and air. The Outline Plan specifies

---

164. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 7-8.
166. Structuurschema, supra note 53, at 9.
167. LIW, art. 35.
the method that forms the starting point for such evaluations. 168

IV. MAKING THE DECISION FOR LAND DEVELOPMENT

A decision that an area of the Netherlands will be subjected to the lengthy land development process can be made only in conjunction with procedures specified in the law and when that decision is consonant with national land development policy. As the discussion of the Structuurschema Landinrichting has indicated, normally only regions identified on the policy map developed as part of the Structuurschema are eligible for land development.

A. The Initiative

1. The Request for Land Development

A request for land development, submitted in writing to the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries and supported by reasons for the request and a map of the proposed area, 169 initiates the land development process. The government, as well as provinces, municipalities and other public bodies may submit a request, as can organizations concerned with the interests served by land development. In addition, land owners and users, who together represent at least thirty percent of the ground in the area, can request land development. 170 In actual practice, as it developed under the 1954 law, most requests were submitted by farmers or by organizations representing farmers. 171 Only recently have nature conservation organizations taken the initiative for land development. 172

The request for land development is brought to the attention of the Central Committee. 173 The Committee evaluates the request, and prepares an outlook document, which describes and evaluates the existing land-use situation in the area. On the basis of that situation and the policies established in the Structuurschema

170. LIW, art. 23.
171. Ruilverkavelingswet, art. 31, provided that requests could be submitted by a fifth of the cadastral owners, certain societies, and agricultural organizations, or by certain governmental bodies. Language in the LIW, art. 23, is slightly more inclusive.
172. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987. The fact that nature conservation organizations ask for land development suggests that the process has been successful in protecting interests other than agriculture.
173. LIW, art. 25. The Minister sends the request to the Central Commission, which informs the relevant provincial deputed states, the municipalities, and the water boards.
Landinrichting, the document specifies whether land development is desirable and, if so, which type and with which method of preparation.174

2. *The Preparatory Outline Scheme*

Before work can begin on a land development project, it must be placed on the *Preparatory Outline Scheme for Land Development*.175 This document, amended annually, lists areas for which consolidation or redevelopment projects are in preparation. Each year the provincial states176 identify areas in their respective provinces for inclusion on the *Outline Scheme*. These areas are chosen after consideration of several factors: the *Structuurschema*, which establishes national policy; the Central Committee's outlook documents on specific land development requests; and provincial spatial policy, as identified in a regional plan or other provincial decision.177

The provincial states recommend the identified areas, as well as the appropriate instrument and preferred method of preparation for each, to the Minister of Agriculture.178 The various requests are considered by the Central Committee, which makes a recommendation,179 on the basis of which the Minister of Agriculture establishes the *Outline Scheme*.180 When the provinces suggest more

174. LIW, art. 26. On methods of preparation, see infra text accompanying notes 184-94. The document is sent to the deputed states, municipalities, water boards, and to those who made the request.

Note, however, that the provinces ultimately decide on the type of project and the type of preparation. See infra, text accompanying notes 133-80.

175. LIW, art. 18. This *Preparatory Outline Scheme*, now prescribed in the law, is successor to the scheme used (without statutory mandate) in connection with multi-year planning since 1958. Memorie van Toelichting II, at 54, quoted in Ned. Staats. 101, at 19.

176. Provincial government consists of the Queen's Commissioner (appointed by the central government), the provincial states (*Provinciale Staten*) and the deputed states (*Gedeputeerde Staten*). The Commissioner acts as chairperson of the provincial and deputed states. The provincial states represents the people in the province; members are elected directly. Though it was intended to be the higher authority, its task is mainly supervising and approving, as well as issuing ordinances. The board of deputed states, elected by the provincial states from among the members, normally takes the initiative for rulemaking. See Bergamin & van Maarseveen, * Constitutional and Administrative Law*, at 4 28-429 in Introduction to Dutch Law, supra note 6.

177. LIW, art. 19.

178. LIW, art. 19, lid 4. If the provincial states suggest an instrument not envisioned by those making the initial request for land development, the deputed states must consult with those who sought the project. LIW, art. 19, lid 3.

179. If the Central Committee recommends either a different instrument, a different form of preparation, or different borders for an area than the one suggested by the provincial states, the provincial states must agree with the change. LIW, arts. 20, lid 3 and 21, lid 1.

180. LIW, art. 18, lid 4. The *Preparatory Outline Scheme* is sent to the boards of deputed states (the general elective of the provinces, elected from members of the provincial
land for development in a given year than is desirable or financially possible, priority is given to projects that reflect several main lines of policy and that have the potential to realize these policy goals using the minimum amount of resources.\textsuperscript{181}

After an area is listed for the first time on the \textit{Preparatory Outline Scheme}, the provincial deputed states in consultation with the Central Committee appoint members of the local land development committee, responsible in part for implementation of the project.\textsuperscript{182} Other individuals, including a secretary (who is an employee of the Government Service for Land and Water Use) and an employee from the office of Land Registry, are then assigned to assist the committee in its work.\textsuperscript{183}

\textbf{B. The Preparatory Phase}

Placing an area on the \textit{Preparatory Outline Scheme for Land Development} is not the final step in deciding to effectuate a land development project. Instead, the decision to proceed is made only after a time-consuming process of research, planning, evaluation, and public education. Indeed, this preparatory phase commonly lasts ten years or longer.\textsuperscript{184}

The \textit{Landinrichtingswet} authorizes two types of preparation for land development: simplified and phased. The simplified method involves immediate preparation of a land development plan, which serves as the basis for a decision as well as the guide for implementing the project. Phased preparation requires first a rather general land development program, on which a decision whether to proceed is based, followed by a more specific plan, which guides eventual implementation.

Phased preparation is a new element in the 1985 law. It is designed to facilitate planning in areas where problems are complicated, and especially where nonagricultural functions are significant. Thus it is useful primarily in the process of redevelopment (\textit{herinrichting}). Phased preparation is not intended to prolong the
initial stages of land development, but instead to allow more comprehensive and coordinated planning. This is important in situations where agriculture and other functions must coexist, particularly in regions where physical planning policies are abstract or overly general. The land development project must be coordinated with the functions assigned to various parts of the area in the regional physical plan. When that regional plan is clear (that is, when it is specific enough to indicate what direction land development must take), the land development plan can be prepared immediately. When the regional plan is not clear and definite, the land development program is useful as an intermediate step and vehicle for discussion.

In situations where an optimal land development plan can be achieved without the intermediate program, simplified preparation is appropriate. Most areas where ruilverkaveling is the instrument for land development will use simplified preparation with its detailed land development plan. This is appropriate because the decision to proceed with ruilverkaveling is based on a vote of landowners and users, taken after they have been informed about the proposed project either through a plan or a program. The rather abstract nature of the program is not likely to provide enough clear information about the details of the project to permit a meaningful vote. Indeed, some believe that the phased preparation should never be used for ruilverkaveling, but only for herinrichting.

In practice, the majority of land development projects will use simplified preparation. For example, only a few of the projects in preparation during 1985 (six of fifty-nine projects) were scheduled for phased preparation. All six of these phased projects involve herinrichting.

When simplified preparation is used for a project, the land de-
velopment plan is established by the deputed states of the province in which the area lies, after consultation with the Central Land Development Committee.\textsuperscript{193} In addition, the plan must be examined in light of its consistency with the physical planning policy of the province.\textsuperscript{194} This rather straight-forward statement, however, obscures the complicated and lengthy procedures involved in establishing the plan.

I. Preparing the Plan

A draft of the plan is actually prepared by the local land development committee. The plan must contain both the facts needed for informed decisionmaking\textsuperscript{195} and the detailed provisions necessary for execution of the plan.\textsuperscript{196} The former includes information about the existing situation, spatial development, and reasons for land development in the region; expected effects of the project on the economic situation, conditions for living and working, and the environment; and the expected financial contributions of public bodies responsible for parts of the infrastructural work.\textsuperscript{197} The latter include specific details concerning the borders of the area (with information about the areas where reallocation of land will occur); the infrastructure elements to be developed and their location; an identification of lands destined for protection as natural areas; the plans for withdrawing lands from agriculture for nature, recreation, or other public uses; and an accurate estimate of the costs of the project.\textsuperscript{198}

The local committee bases its draft plan on extensive research.

In the phased preparation, the land development program is normally established by the provincial states, LIW, art. 42, unless that power is transferred to the deputed states, \textit{id.}, art. 45. The program is established after the draft is tested against the main points of provincial physical planning policy, as expressed in a regional plan (\textit{streekplan}) or other provincial decision. The information required in the program, \textit{id.}, arts. 35 and 36, is less detailed than that required in the plan; the program forms the foundation for the plan. \textit{id.}, art. 73, lid 2.

Procedures for establishing the plan are similar to those for the simplified procedure and are regulated by many of the same statutory articles.

193. LIW, art. 81. The decision to establish the plan must be accompanied by reasons. \textit{id.}, art. 83, lid 1. When the deputed states deviate from the advice of the Central Committee, that decision must be reported to the Minister of Agriculture, and there is an opportunity for the government to reverse the decision of the deputed states. \textit{id.}, art 82.
194. LIW, art. 88, lid b (providing substitute language for art. 81, lid 1, for projects with simplified preparation). In phased preparation, this coordination takes place in connection with the program.
195. In the phased preparation, these are included in the program, on which the decision whether to proceed is based. LIW, art. 35.
196. On the contents of the plan, see LIW, arts. 74 and 75.
197. LIW, art. 87, lid 3 & lid 4.
198. LIW, art. 75. See also A. CRIJNS, REGULATIVE PHASE, \textit{supra} note 15, at 12-13.
Early in its work, the committee usually requests a number of sector recommendations. These documents are to provide information about the existing situation and difficulties concerning agricultural structure, nature and landscape protection, landscape building, and outdoor recreation. In addition, the documents are to inform the local committee of the wishes of the relevant sectors for the land development project. These wishes must be considered as the plan is formalized.

An essential part of plan formulation is evaluation, designed to determine in advance of a decision to proceed whether the relationship between costs and effects in a proposed land development project is reasonable. Since 1982, even before adoption of the Landinrichtingswet, every land development project in preparation has been evaluated, a process that serves both as a method of accounting for the use of public funds and, perhaps more importantly, as a tool for project planning.

The Landinrichtingswet now specifically requires evaluation as part of either the program or the plan. This evaluation is to describe the expected consequences of land development measures for the economic situation, including work opportunities, living and working circumstances, nature and landscape, and the condition of water, soil, and air. Beginning early in each land development project, evaluation of these effects is carried out at several stages, according to a system called the “HELP-method.”

199. The sector recommendations (deeladviezen) are required by art. 26 of the Regeling werkwijze, supra note 144. For phased preparation, they are required prior to preparation of the land development program. Id., art. 22.

200. One of the initial stages of plan formulation is the schetsontwerp, specified in the Regeling werkwijze, supra note 144, art. 26. This document usually contains a clarification of the spatial development, a description of the main points of the deeladviezen, an analysis of the wishes expressed in those recommendation documents, alternative schemes for improving the land development in the area, and a summary evaluation of those alternatives. This schetsontwerp forms a foundation for preparation of the draft of the plan.


203. LIW, art. 35, lid 1/b/6.

204. LIW, art. 87, lid 3/b.

205. LIW, arts. 35, lid 1/b/6 and 87, lid 3/b.

206. H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 212. Even earlier, under the multi-year planning that began in the late 1950s, projects were evaluated and selected on the basis of the relation between the amount invested in agricultural improvement and the expected returns to agriculture from the project.

The HELP-method is specified as the means for evaluation in the Regeling werkwijze,
tion process involves objective determination of the probable effects (over a thirty-year period) of a number of alternative land development designs, in comparison with the probable development of the area without land development.207

The evaluation considers four types of effects (economic, social, nature, and landscape), but leaves the weighing of the various effects to decisionmakers. Economic effects primarily concern agriculture;208 these include benefits from better parcelling and improved water management, as well as benefits from modernization accomplished by farmers themselves.209 In addition, the reduction in working hours on the farm as the result of land development is a significant social effect.210 An important component of the evaluation is calculation of an internal rate of return (a cost-benefit ratio) of investments on behalf of agriculture. Projects with a rate of return lower than ten percent can only be accepted if noneconomic effects expected from the project are particularly significant.211

The result of the complicated and time-consuming process of evaluation is a report that explains the various effects of the proposed project and their national importance, and states the expected internal rate of return for agriculture. A summary of this evaluation report appears in the plan. The plan also states the expected annual benefit (in guilders per hectare) for livestock and grain farms, as well as the expected annual cost to owners for each hectare of agricultural land benefitted by the project.212

Evaluation accompanies the various stages in preparation of the land development plan.213 Moreover, the expected consequences of

supra note 144, art. 27, lid 2.

207. H. Bosma, Evaluation in advance, supra note 57, Annex 4. The number of alternatives decreases as the plans for the proposed project become more specific.

208. H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 214. Also, economic effects include changes in maintenance costs for roads and watercourses.

For further discussion about other effects, see H. Bosma, Evaluation in advance, supra note 57, at 5-8. See also Jonkers, Evaluatie van landinrichtingsprojecten: methodiek en toepassing, 24 Cultuurtechnisch Tijdschrift 265 (1985).

209. H. Bosma, Evaluation in advance, supra note 57, at 4-5.


211. H. Bosma, Kosten en Effecten, supra note 47, at 216. Projects with an internal rate of return for agriculture lower than five percent can never be accepted. Id.

212. E.g., Landinrichtingsdienst, Ruiiverkaveling Wieringen, Ontwerp-plan, at 60 (1986). In this project, expected benefits for livestock farms are about 663 guilders/hectare/year (761 without the superheffing [milk production levy]); for grain farms, about 400 guilders/hectare/year. Costs are expected to be about 47 guilders/hectare/year, plus an additional 88 guilders/hectare/year connected with parcel improvement and farm building.

213. Although evaluation after completion of land development projects would seem useful, in part for comparing with the initial evaluations, many years (at least 15) elapse between the evaluation performed in planning and the completion of the project. Thus, cir-
the project are an important factor in the decision whether to proceed with land development.

2. Establishing the Plan

Before the plan is finally established and the decision for or against land development is made, several opportunities for public comment are available. When the preliminary draft is completed, the local committee must give notice in the geographic area of the proposed project and provide three months for inspection of the draft, comment, and informational meetings and discussions. The committee then prepares a report that considers the views and comments expressed and explains any resulting changes in the draft plan. The Central Committee then has an opportunity to consider the document in light of national policy and financial consequences, before establishing it, perhaps with amendments, as a draft and sending it to the relevant provincial deputed states.

Another opportunity for public comment, this time for a month, is required. In finally establishing the plan, the deputed states must consider any objections served, as well as provincial spatial policy. Even after the plan is established, however, another notice period is provided, and there is opportunity for owners and renters who had objected earlier to appeal to the Crown concerning some issues.

C. The Decision to Proceed

1. Redevelopment

An important distinction between herinrichting and ruilverkaveling concerns the decision to proceed with the land development project. For herinrichting, the decision is made by the provincial deputed states. At the time that body establishes the land
development plan, it also makes the decision to carry out the redevelop ment project.\textsuperscript{219} Land owners and users affected by the decision have no opportunity to vote on the project.

2. \textit{Consolidation}

The decisionmaking process is more complicated when the instrument of land development is \textit{ruilverkaveling}. When the plan is established, the deputed states also decide that a vote will be held to reach a decision about consolidation.\textsuperscript{220} For simplified procedure, the vote is informed by the details contained in the land development plan; for phased procedure (not normally to be used for consolidation), by the program.

Those who vote on the project are both landowners and tenants. Thus, the local committee must prepare, open for inspection and objections, and establish a list of owners, as they are identified in the land registry office.\textsuperscript{221} In addition, the committee prepares a list of eligible renters. These include renters of ground within the area who have valid written leases of at least six years' duration. To be eligible, tenants must register during a time period specified by the committee by submitting a copy of their lease agreement.\textsuperscript{222} The committee sends both lists to the deputed states, which supervises the vote.\textsuperscript{223}

Voting is regulated both by the \textit{Landinrichtingswet} and by additional procedural rules authorized by the law.\textsuperscript{224} The deputed states establish a main voting office, possibly supported by an administrative office, as well as voting offices in the municipalities.\textsuperscript{225} At least three weeks before the vote, each registered owner and renter will be informed by registered letter.\textsuperscript{226}

In the actual election, each owner or renter has a vote, which is relevant in two ways. First, the positive or negative vote itself is counted. In addition, each vote represents a quantity of the ground

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{219} LIW, art. 90.
\item \textsuperscript{220} LIW, art. 92.
\item \textsuperscript{221} LIW, art. 53. This article of the law prescribes procedures for notice and comment.
\item \textsuperscript{222} LIW, arts. 54 & 55. These articles and art. 56 give details concerning the qualifications for renters to vote and the notice required. Opportunity for appeal is available. \textit{Id.}, arts. 58-60.
\item \textsuperscript{223} LIW, arts. 53, lid 4; 61.
\item \textsuperscript{224} LIW, arts. 62-69. Further procedural rules are authorized by article 65; these were established through a decree of 11 September 1985, Stb. 1985, 525 [hereinafter cited as Besluit].
\item \textsuperscript{225} LIW, art. 64; Besluit, supra note 224, arts. 2 & 3.
\item \textsuperscript{226} LIW, art. 62.
\end{itemize}

https://scholarlycommons.law.cwsl.edu/cwilj/vol18/iss2/1
in the proposed project. For land that is not rented, the owner’s vote represents the entire number of hectares. For rented land, however, the votes of owner and renter each normally represent half the surface.\textsuperscript{227} A decision to proceed with the *ruilverkaveling* results if there is an affirmative vote of either a majority (more than half) of the number of votes or a majority of the amount of ground surface represented in the election.\textsuperscript{228}

After the decision for *ruilverkaveling* has been made, owners and users of ground in the area must avoid actions that will change the value of real property.\textsuperscript{229}

### V. THE EXECUTION OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The establishment of the land development plan marks both the end of the preparatory phase of land development and the beginning of the execution of the project.\textsuperscript{230} This stage of land development is the primary responsibility of the local committee, which has both the power and the duty to carry out the project.\textsuperscript{231} Although the Central Committee has some special tasks in the execution of land development projects, the local committee assumes full responsibility to plan and coordinate the project. Thus, the local committee must acquire any permits (for example, those required under local land use plans pursuant to physical planning regulation\textsuperscript{232}) needed to carry out the land development work.\textsuperscript{233}

In some instances, the local committee can delegate responsibility for carrying out some parts of the work to another public body, normally the agency that will ultimately be responsible for the management or maintenance of the facility constructed or improved through that work. This delegation of responsibility helps to ensure effective correlation with other activities of the relevant pub-

\textsuperscript{227} LIW, art. 66. This article gives rather detailed rules about the representation of the ground among the various parties, including holders of rights such as usufruct.
\textsuperscript{228} LIW, art. 66, lid 1.
\textsuperscript{229} LIW, art. 71. In addition, workers on farms that will be ended have an opportunity to receive a government financial contribution. Id., art. 72.
\textsuperscript{230} LIW, art. 124, lid 1.
\textsuperscript{231} LIW, art. 124, lid 3. Only work included in the plan can be done by the local committee. Work can be carried out on land acquired by the local committee in temporary use *(tijdelijk gebruik)*. LIW, arts. 126; 189, lid 3. On temporary use, see infra text accompanying notes 322-31.
\textsuperscript{232} See supra text accompanying notes 22-27.
\textsuperscript{233} LIW, art. 130; Regeling werkwijze, art. 33, supra note 144. The deputed states can intervene in this area, when necessary to facilitate progress for the land development project. See Wet op de Ruimtelijke Ordening, art. 37, Ned. Staats. 64 (1986).
lic body.\footnote{234}

To facilitate performance of the land development plan, the law gives the local committee access to ground in the region and specific authority to carry out activities on that ground.\footnote{235} Thus representatives of the local committee can go onto land to make measurements and observations, plant or cut trees, and work with the soil. The committee has power to perform works connected with access, water management, layout of parcels, and building up of ground surface. With agreement of the Central Committee, the local committee can also order the destruction, moving, building, or rebuilding of structures. Before proceeding with these activities, however, the committee must make a description of the relevant real property, insofar as no such description was made during the first valuation.\footnote{236}

Owners and users of ground in the region must permit the authorized activities of the local committee to occur on their land.\footnote{237} Sometimes, however, an owner or user will suffer detriment from the land development activities; for example, part of a crop may be lost, or trees or a building may be destroyed. Also, the owner may forego use of a strip of land, which may be taken for road improvement or other developments under provisions allowing temporary use (that is, use before ownership is officially transferred) of land within the region.\footnote{238} When such losses or damages occur through land development activities, compensation must be paid, either through the provision of substitute land or in cash.\footnote{239}

The execution of the land development plan, supervised by the local committee, can involve complicated physical work, both in construction or improvement of roads and waterways as well as other infrastructural projects, and in preparation of land for redistribution. Thus, the performance phase of land development,

\footnote{234. LIW, art. 125. Delegation requires permission of the deputed states, in agreement with the Central Committee. Carrying out of works must be done in accordance with applicable rules on public letting of bids. See Regeling werkwijze, arts. 35, 36, supra note 144.}
\footnote{235. LIW, art. 128, leden 1-3.}
\footnote{236. LIW, art. 128, lid 4. On the first valuation, see infra text accompanying notes 287-98.}
\footnote{237. LIW, art. 129, lid 1. Failure of cooperation results in the invocation of legal process with help of de sterkte arm (the strong arm of the law). \textit{Id.}, art. 9, lid 2.}
\footnote{238. LIW, arts. 126; 189, lid 3.}
\footnote{239. LIW, art. 129, lid 2. See Vonk, \textit{Enkele aspecten van de uitvoering van landinrichtingsprojecten}, 16 \textit{Bedrijfsontwikkeling} 247, 248 (1985) [hereinafter cited as \textit{uitvoering}]. Payment for the temporary use of small parcels often takes the form of an annual sum paid to the owner or user. Eventually, when land is reallocated, the landowners' rights are finally established.}
though relatively simple in legal terms, can take as long as fifteen years.\footnote{Barlagen, Boeren, supra note 14, at 325. In the 1950s, performance took an average of 10 years; later the duration was 13-14 years, and now 15 years is expected.}

This rather lengthy process involves significant public and private components. The public aspects involve acquisition of land for public purposes and eventually the assignment of the land involved to public bodies or other legal entities for continued management and maintenance. The private aspects involve issues of valuation of land brought into the project, as well as the rights of owners and users to receive land in the reallocation process. For both public and private purposes, financial provisions are also relevant.

\textit{A. Facilities with a Public Purpose}

\textit{1. Obtaining Ground for the Land Development Project}

Because land development normally includes components other than the exchange of farmland, a successful project will require the local committee to obtain land for a number of agricultural and nonagricultural purposes. Sometimes this land is essential for the success of the project; in other instances, desirable elements of land development can be realized only if land is obtained, but will be omitted otherwise.

Public facilities constructed in the process of land development require part of this land. For example, the construction or improvement of roads, watercourses, and other infrastructural provisions will require a number of hectares. Planting of wooded areas, promotion of nature and landscape, and provision of outdoor recreation form components of land development, in a degree that varies with the nature of each individual project. Ground is also occasionally needed to establish nature reserves. In connection with the agricultural aspects of land development, some hectares may be needed to increase the size of farms, sometimes as an incentive to encourage farmers to move their entire operations to another location. In addition, the exchange of land parcels among owners will operate more smoothly if some additional land is available to make that exchange more flexible.

The \textit{Landinrichtingswet} recognizes this need for land and provides several mechanisms for ensuring that in each land development project the necessary ground can be obtained. Both voluntary and involuntary transfers are available for this purpose.
a. Involuntary Transfers

As a general principle in land development, each owner of land within a block has the right to receive, in the reparation and allocation, land of the same type and agricultural value as the land that owner contributed to the project. This general principle is modified, however, for the purpose of obtaining ground for public purposes related to the land development project. The necessity for land for these public purposes should not unfairly affect only a few owners in the project. Thus, when land development is carried out in combination with reparation (herverkaveling), the law permits a reduction (or korting), in the value of land that each owner is entitled to receive in the reallocation.

This reduction, applied on a block by block basis, affects the rights of all owners within the block. It is expressed as a percentage that compares the total value of land needed for specific public purposes to the total value of land brought into the project. Owners receive compensation for this reduction, often in the form of a deduction from the costs otherwise assessed in connection with the land development.

The permissible maximum percentage for the korting and the purposes for which the korting may be used depend on the type of land development project. For ruilverkaveling, a maximum reduction of five percent is permitted. The land obtained through this reduction may be used for the development or improvement of roads and watercourses and their related structures. In addition, the korting may be used to obtain ground important for outdoor recreation, nature and landscape values, and other public purposes.

---

241. LIW, art. 139. It is possible, however, that an owner may not receive precisely the same value of land to which he is entitled. This can occur, for example, if small parcels of land cannot be fit well into the reallocation plan, perhaps because of the arrangement of roads or watercourses. Article 144 of the Landinrichtingswet therefore provides that the reallocation can deviate up to 5% from the value to which the owner is entitled, even against the wishes of the owner. LIW, art. 144. This deviation is settled with the owner in money.

242. The general principle is sometimes modified for other reasons. For example, an owner who would receive a parcel too small to be exploited and who has no reasonable interest in owning such a parcel may be paid money instead of receiving land. LIW, art. 146, lid 2.

243. LIW, arts. 141, 142, 143.

244. It applies to the rights of renters also.

245. On costs of land development and their allocation, see infra text accompanying notes 371-89.

246. LIW, art. 142. The korting permissible for aanpassingsinrichting may be used for similarly broad public purposes, but is limited to a maximum of three percent. LIW, art.
For herinrichting, the permissible reduction is limited to three percent. The ground obtained through this reduction may be used to construct or improve public roads and watercourses and for provisions related to these roads or watercourses.\textsuperscript{47} The korting cannot be used to obtain land for other public purposes, as it is in ruilverkaveling.

In land development projects involving herinrichting, however, other public purposes can be achieved by using compulsory purchase (onteigening),\textsuperscript{48} if the required ground cannot be obtained through voluntary sale. Compulsory purchase can take place with regard to real property, easements, and other property rights needed for realization of the herinrichting plan. Under this complex procedure, land is taken in the name of the state, on the basis of a government decision.\textsuperscript{249} Owners whose land is taken through this process, which is similar in purpose and effect to eminent domain, have no right to receive other land in the land development block in exchange, but instead receive monetary compensation. Compulsory purchase affects only the owners whose land is taken; there is no reduction in the right of other owners to receive land in the reallocation process.

b. Voluntary Transfers

Although the korting described above is a legal method of obtaining land needed for certain public facilities constructed during land development, it is generally perceived that this reduction should be used only when necessary; every effort should be made to let active farmers keep the maximum amount of land.\textsuperscript{250} Thus, it is desirable for most of the land needed for land development to be

\textsuperscript{143. (Article 143 does not refer to the broad category of “other purposes of public benefit” found in art. 142, lid 1/c.)}
\textsuperscript{247. LIW, art. 141.}
\textsuperscript{248. See Onteigeningswet, Ned. Staats. 24 (1981). LIW, art. 233 amends articles 122 and 123 of the Onteigeningswet, with particular regard to herinrichting.}
\textsuperscript{Compulsory purchase is available for purposes other than land development. Often it is used as a component of physical planning, in which land is taken in conjunction with land-use designations specified in a bestemmingsplan. Indeed, the goals of land development can sometimes be achieved with the use of land taken by compulsory purchase on the basis of a bestemmingsplan.}
\textsuperscript{249. LIW, art. 233, amending Onteigeningswet, art. 122. After the land development plan is established, the deputed states name a committee to prepare the compulsory purchase in a process involving opportunity for public participation. The government decision follows this process. Then there is an effort to purchase the necessary land amicably; if that effort fails, a legal procedure is available. See Landinrichtingsdienst, De Landinrichtingswet, supra note 50, at 27.}
\textsuperscript{250. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987.}
obtained through voluntary sale. Purchase of this land is the task of the Bureau for Agricultural Land Management (Bureau Beheer Landbouwgronden). 251

The land development plan normally specifies the amount of land necessary, the purposes for which it will be used, and the means of obtaining the ground. The plan includes a goal (taakstelling) for land to be obtained by the Bureau; 262 the goal is established on the basis of need for land and the realistic possibility of obtaining it, in light of mobility in the land market. For projects in preparation, this goal for purchase by the Bureau amounts to about six percent of the ground surface in the project. 263

Although each specific land development plan establishes a goal for land acquisition within the region of the plan, the process of land acquisition may begin as soon as an area is identified on the Preparatory Outline Scheme for Land Development. 264 When an area is placed on the Preparatory Outline Scheme, it becomes an acquisition area for the Bureau. 265 The Landinrichtingswet encourages voluntary sales of land to the Bureau by authorizing payment of a premium above the normal purchase price. 266 This provision is implemented by a Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries decision establishing the conditions under which a premium can be paid in

251. This agency is sometimes also referred to as the Office for Land Management. It is a subdivision of the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. The Commissie Beheer Landbouwgronden (Central Committee for Land Management), composed of sixteen representatives of ministries and organizations, makes policy decisions. The Directie Beheer Landbouwgronden (Government Service for Land Management) carries out these decisions; the Bureau for Agricultural Land Management is the legal entity with juridical responsibility for execution of decisions.

The Bureau is the agency that buys land in the name of the government for various goals. These include the performance of land development projects, landscape development, construction of woods, development of buffer zones between cities, creation of nature reserves, development of recreation areas. Land is normally managed temporarily by the Bureau, and then conveyed to its future owner.

252. There are a number of ways for the Bureau to obtain land. These include purchase of land on the open market; use of rules for ending farm businesses (purchase of land plus payment of an additional sum related to termination of the business) pursuant to the Ontwikkelings- en Saneringsfonds for agriculture; redemption of allocation rights in a land development project (see below), and purchase of farms that are relocated to an IJsselmeer polder. Landinrichtingsdienst, De Landinrichtingswet, supra note 50, at 39.


254. See supra text accompanying notes 175-83.


256. LIW, art.11, lid 1. When the land development project uses the mechanism of aanpassingsinrichting, which always involves an area not included on the Preparatory Outline Scheme, the premium is possible after the proposal (voorstel) for readaptation is complete. In herinrichting projects that do not involve reparcelling, the premium is possible for a specific period after the decision to proceed with the project is made. Id., lid 2.
connection with purchase of potential allocation rights.\textsuperscript{257}

A similar mechanism exists to facilitate sales of land to the Bureau after the decision for land development has been made. An owner with ground in the land development block who offers to sell his reallocation rights within a specified time period will receive the actual value of the realty, as well as a premium.\textsuperscript{258} The premium, a kind of incentive for ending the farm business, is available to the owner who fulfills conditions that focus on the amount of land sold, the future use of farm buildings, and the cessation of the agricultural business.\textsuperscript{258} Renters are also eligible to receive payment for renunciation of potential or actual allocation rights.\textsuperscript{259}

The Bureau is responsible for the financial management of land purchased within land development areas. For new land development projects, the Bureau also assumes responsibility for the physical management of ground that is not immediately needed by the local committee for the project.\textsuperscript{260} Eventually, however, ground under Bureau management is conveyed to its ultimate owners, for example farmers, municipalities, and other organizations responsible for public facilities.\textsuperscript{261}

2. The Delimitation Plan

The final stage in the often-lengthy construction of facilities for public purposes in land development areas is the establishment of the delimitation plan (begrenzingenplan) and the finalization of the related provisions for ownership, management, and maintenance of public facilities. The delimitation plan is the official administrative-
cadastral document that describes the situation within the block concerning roads, watercourses, and other public areas.\(^{263}\)

The delimitation plan serves three purposes. First, it distinguishes between two broad categories of ground within the project area: land that will be allocated in the plan of reallocation to owners and renters, and land with facilities of a public nature that will be therefore assigned instead to public bodies and other legal entities. Thus, because it identifies land that will not be available for reallocation to individuals, the delimitation plan must precede the reallocation plan. Second, it serves as the legal basis for allocation of land to the relevant public bodies. Finally, it is the foundation for ascertaining the public nature of roads and watercourses. Roads and watercourses that appear on the established delimitation plan are public; those that are not designated as public in the plan are not public ways.\(^{264}\)

The delimitation plan is established pursuant to the procedure specified in the *Landinrichtingswet*.\(^{266}\) The local land development committee develops and sends to the Central Committee a draft plan, including maps of public roads, waterways, and related structures, as well as natural areas and other public provisions. The Central Committee sends the draft to the deputed states with a suggestion to establish the delimitation plan. The deputed states establish the plan and give notice to the Central Committee, local committee, and other public bodies.\(^{266}\) The decision to establish the plan also dictates the public nature of the ways identified in the plan.

Closely related to establishment of the delimitation plan are provisions for future responsibility for the public facilities identified in the plan. Along with the draft delimitation plan, the Central Committee sends to the deputed states proposals for regulation of ownership, management, and maintenance of public ways and related facilities and for allocation of ownership of natural, landscape, rec-


Pursuant to article 84, lid 1 of the *Landinrichtingswet*, the land development plan itself can be modified up until the *begrenzingenplan* is established. If no delimitation plan is needed, the land development plan can be amended until the plan of allocation is approved by the Central Committee. LIW, art. 84, lid 7.

\(^{264}\) Landinrichtingsdienst, *De Landinrichtingswet*, supra note 50, at 31.

\(^{265}\) LIW, art. 131. The procedure is streamlined because the delimitation plan is based on the land development plan; during establishment of the latter plan, opportunity for objection and appeal existed.

\(^{266}\) LIW, art. 131, lid 3. *See also* art. 47, lid 1, concerning requirements for public notice.
REALLOCATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND

realational and other resources. The deputed states make a decision about future ownership and management of these facilities. Roads, watercourses, and related facilities will normally be the responsibility of a public body, though under special circumstances these facilities can be assigned to a different legal entity. The deputed states will consult with the relevant public body or other legal entities concerning the future responsibility; a legal entity must normally be given the opportunity to agree to assume the responsibility unless it had control of the facility before the land development process. Nature, recreation, and similar facilities will be assigned to the kingdom or, with permission of the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries, to another public body or to a legal entity (if that entity agrees). Results of the decision of the deputed states are made public, and appeal is possible.

The decisions made by the deputed states about ownership are incorporated into the deed of reallocation prepared by the local committee. The transfer of the deed in the public records results in the change of ownership.

B. Privately-Owned Land

Owners and renters of land within the land development block have rights and responsibilities in connection with execution of the project. In addition to their obligation to permit designated activities on their land, individuals will have their property valued and eventually will receive (subject to rules concerning the korting and compulsory purchase) property of equivalent agricultural value in the reallocation process. Renters, too, have rights in the reallocation process. Even before final reallocation and change in ownership,

267. LIW, art. 131, lid 4.
268. LIW, arts. 133, 137. The decision must be made within six months of the proposal.
269. LIW, arts. 133, lid 1; 133, lid 2. Generally these are assigned without financial compensation. LIW, art. 133, lid 5.
270. LIW, art. 133, lid 3.
271. LIW, art. 133, lid 4. Assignment or withdrawal of responsibility to or from the kingdom requires agreement of the relevant minister, unless the kingdom had similar responsibility before the land development project. Id., art. 134, lid 1.
272. LIW, art. 137.
273. LIW, art. 138.
274. LIW, art. 207, lid 1. The deputed states' assignments involving land outside the block are recorded in a separate deed. Id., art. 138, lid 2.
275. LIW, art. 138, leden 3, 6. The timing of responsibility for management and maintenance of roads and waterways is regulated by section 135. After completion of works and approval by the Central Committee, management and maintenance is carried out by the body or entity specified in the deputed states' assignment pursuant to art. 133, lid 1.
However, some alteration in the pattern of land use will occur through the provisions permitting temporary use of land. And, during the lengthy execution phase of land development, improvements will be implemented on the farmland.

1. Valuation: The First Appraisal

a. The System of Valuation

Land development involves a process of land exchange, combined with improvement of access, water management, and parcelling. Because owners bring their land into the project and often receive different land in exchange, equitable treatment is essential. The Landinrichtingswet gives each owner the right to receive ground of the same type (that is, land with more or less the same agricultural value) as the land he brought into the project. In addition, landowners are required to contribute to the cost of the land development process, in an amount related both to the benefits received from the project and to the increased value of land received through the plan of allotment. Thus the law prescribes a process of land valuation designed to establish the initial value of parcels in the development block, as well as the enhanced value of that land after redevelopment.

This valuation process occurs through two appraisals prescribed in the land development law. Though the main elements that constitute the appraisal are specified in the law, the details are worked out in the Central Land Development Committee's guide for appraisals. Instructions for valuation are essential so that the methods of appraisal will be consistent through the Netherlands. Closely connected with the valuation is ascertainment of the identity of those who have ownership or other claims to real property in the land development block. The local land development committee establishes a listing as complete as possible of these

276. LIW, art. 139.
277. LIW, arts. 221; 222, lid 4; 223. The state and other public bodies assume much of the cost of land development. See infra text accompanying notes 371-89.
278. LIW, arts. 162-166, 210.
279. Central Landinrichtingscommissie, Leidraad voor de eerste en tweede schatting volgens de Landinrichtingswet (1986) [hereinafter cited as Leidraad]. These rules are authorized through LIW, art. 32, which gives the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries authority to establish operating procedures for the Land Development Commission. Article 41 of these operating procedures requires the Leidraad. Regeling werkwijze Landinrichtings Commissie, Stcrt. 1985, 217 (30 oktober 1985).
The two-step process for appraisal of real property brought into the land development project is based on a system of land classification established by the Central Committee. The system prescribes a method for dividing the ground in the land development block into classes on the basis of its nature, characteristics, use, and condition, and for establishing an agrarian value (per hectare) for each class of land. The system also serves as a foundation for later determination of changes in land value as a result of land development. The local committee selects the appraisers (an uneven number, but at least three), who conduct both the first and second appraisals in accordance with the system of classification.

b. The First Appraisal

In the first appraisal, the land is divided into classes, as prescribed by the system of classification, and the specifics with regard to the layout of the land are recorded. The first appraisal thus discerns the starting situation—what the farmers bring into the project. It is intended to define the agricultural production value of each parcel. This value, which might be considered the agricultural exchange value, serves as the foundation for later exchanges of land. It is not based on market price, which is influenced by factors other than agricultural productivity. Instead, the appraisal is intended to reveal each landowner’s starting situation, as well as to ensure that in the reallocation each farmer will receive land with the same agricultural production value. Of course, the first appraisal also involves recording other information, including the situation of each parcel as regards access, water management, and other factors pertaining to the cultural situation of the ground, the

281. LIW, art. 161. See Dam, Houwing, supra note 46. Tenants receive special treatment. LIW, arts. 150-159. See infra text accompanying notes 299-321.
283. LIW, art. 163.
284. LIW, art. 162, lid 2. The Leidraad states that value for the classes is determined by first judging the best and worst land and setting the value per hectare, and then establishing transitional categories between the best and the worst. Leidraad, supra note 279 at 8.
285. LIW, art. 162, lid 3.
286. LIW, arts. 164; 165; 210, lid 1.
287. LIW, art. 166.
288. Agricultural production value is voortbrengend vermogen. Leidraad, supra note 279, at 7.
facilities for drainage, and the presence of obstacles. These additional factors will be relevant in determining a farmer's benefit from improvements made during the land development project and from exchange of parcels.

Results of the first evaluation are recorded both in a register of appraisal results and on a map that indicates the borders of the various classes of land. These, together with the list of rightful claimants for the block of land in the project, are then laid open for public inspection. Within fourteen days after the end of the inspection period, parties in interest may register objections against the list of rightful claimants and the results of the first appraisal. If no objections are submitted, the lists are finally established. If objections have been filed, the local land development committee first attempts to settle these by reaching agreements. Failing agreement, an objection is treated first by a judge appointed for the land development project (rechter-commissaris) and if no settlement is reached, the matter is referred for judicial treatment to the court (rechtbank). Cassation to the highest court (Hoge Raad) is

289. Leidraad, supra note 279, at 12-14. The guide refers to objective and subjective factors concerning the land. The objective factors—access and water management—can be established regardless of the ownership and use situation. In contrast, the importance of the subjective factors—relating to the relationship of parcels, their form, size, and distance from the farmstead—depends on the owner or user of the ground. Both types of factors play a role in the second appraisal. The status of objective factors must be noted in the first appraisal, but the recording of subjective factors is not meaningful, because ownership changes during the land development process may alter these. Neither subjective nor objective factors form part of the agricultural exchange value. Leidraad, supra note 279, at 13-14.

290. LIW, art. 167.

291. LIW, art. 168. The inspection period lasts a month. Public notice, through the municipalities and through publication in at least two newspapers in the area of the block, is required. Parties in interest are to be notified by registered letter. After the public inspection, no further changes to the borders of the block are possible. LIW, art. 169.

292. LIW, art. 170.

293. LIW, art. 171.

294. LIW, art. 172. It is clear that most objections are handled successfully by the local committee. For all objection procedures for the period 1983-85, for example, there were an average of 3.2 objections per 100 hectares, and 11.1 objections per 100 owners. The local committee handled 82.4 percent of these objections; the rechter-commissaris, 11.1 percent; and the rechtbank, 6.5 percent. Landinrichtingsdienst, Jaarverslag 1985, supra note 51, at 107 (Tabel 13). In this same time period, 648 objections were served to the list of rightful claimants and valuation, 1784 to the plan of reallocation, and 387 to the list of financial arrangements. Id. (Tabel 14).

295. LIW, arts. 175, 176. The rechter-commissaris is a sitting judge of the rechtbank. One such judge is appointed to serve as rechter-commissaris for each land development project. If objections cannot be handled successfully by the local committee, the rechter-commissaris attempts to reach agreement between the parties. Only those problems that cannot be resolved are referred to the rechtbank.

296. LIW, arts. 179-181. Time limits are relatively strict. The rechtbank, for example, must make its decision within 30 days. Id., art. 181.
possible from the rechtsbank decision concerning the list of parties in interest, but the decision of the rechtsbank on the appraisal is final. The rechtsbank closes the list of parties in interest and the appraisal.

2. The Status of Renters

Although much of the foregoing discussion of landinrichting has been expressed in terms of the rights of owners, renters of agricultural land, who enjoy protected status under Dutch law, also have specific rights in the land development project. Indeed, if the land-use situation within the block demands, the reallocation of parcels can be made on the basis of the needs of land users rather than land owners. Though this approach is rarely used, its availability reflects the significant status of renters.

Like owners, renters have the right to receive an allocation of leased ground of the same value as the land that renter had leased at the time of the first valuation. Insofar as possible, the land should be of the same quality and use category. This right to land does not apply if the land used by a renter has been taken through compulsory purchase. Moreover, the renter, like the owner, is subject to the reduction in value (korting) permitted to supply land for public uses; the renter can also offer to surrender potential or actual rights of reallocation and receive a premium.

The renter's claim for land exists only if the tenant is registered, a process that occurs when a copy of the rental agreement is submitted within a specified time period to the local committee, which
issues a proof of registration.\textsuperscript{307} Other parties to each lease agreement submitted for registration are notified and have the opportunity to object to registration. Moreover, parties whose leases are not in writing or approved, as required by the Dutch agricultural leasing law,\textsuperscript{308} are directed to consult the agricultural tenancy division of the lowest court (\textit{pachtkamer van het kantongerecht}) or the land tenure control board (\textit{grondkamer}),\textsuperscript{309} which must give immediate consideration to leases connected with a land development project.\textsuperscript{310} The local land development committee will not give further consideration to renters who do not fulfill these requirements and whose agreements thus do not comply with the law.\textsuperscript{311}

The reorganization of land ownership that occurs through the plan of reallocation often disrupts the established pattern of landlord-tenant relationships. Some tenancies will be maintained under the new arrangement, but other leases will be given up, and new relationships must be entered.\textsuperscript{312}

The \textit{Landinrichtingswet} prescribes that the local committee should maintain existing tenancy relationships to the extent possible.\textsuperscript{313} Nonetheless, even between existing landlords and tenants new lease agreements may be required, in light of the changed land ownership patterns. In this case, within thirty days after establishment of the plan of reallocation, parties to existing relationships must send new agreements to the land tenure control board for ap-

\textsuperscript{307. LIW, art. 151, leden 1 & 5. Sending the agreement for registration must occur within 30 days after a time set and published by the local committee, art. 151, leden 2 & 4; agreements entered after that deadline must be submitted within 30 days after approval and before a final deadline established by the committee, art. 151, lid 3.}

\textsuperscript{308. Pachtwet, art. 2, leden 1 & 2, Ned. Staats. 123 (1985).}

\textsuperscript{309. On the \textit{grondkamer}, see Pachtwet arts. 72-114; on the \textit{pachtkamer}, see id., arts. 115-154.}

In each province there is a \textit{grondkamer} (land tenure control board) with jurisdiction over the land in that province. Besluit van 20 maart 1958, no. 21, Stcr. No. 65, art. 1 (printed in Ned Staats. 123 (1985)). Each \textit{grondkamer} consists of a chair and at least four, but not more than twelve, members, Pachtwet, art. 73, who serve 5-year renewable terms. \textit{Id.}, art. 74, lid 2. The \textit{grondkamer} handles the approval of rental agreements and of agreements to modify or terminate leases. \textit{Id.}, art. 88. Appeal to the \textit{centrale grondkamer}, located in Arnhem, is possible. \textit{Id.}, arts. 81, 107.

A \textit{pachtkamer} (agricultural tenancy division) exists with every \textit{kantongerecht} (local court), and consists of the judge and two non-legal members who serve five-year renewable terms. \textit{Id.}, arts. 115; 116, lid 3. The \textit{pachtkamer} also enjoys powers concerning rental agreements. \textit{Id.}, art. 128.

\textsuperscript{310. LIW, art. 152, lid 7.}

\textsuperscript{311. LIW, art. 152, lid 6.}

\textsuperscript{312. The local committee must send information concerning the status of the various rental agreements within the land development block to the \textit{grondkamer}. LIW, art. 154.}

\textsuperscript{313. LIW, art. 153, lid 1.}
proval. Normally the new leases will have the same termination date as the agreements they replace.

When the interests of land development dictate that existing relationships cannot be maintained, the local committee has the authority to cancel existing agreements and to establish new relationships, assigning to a landlord a tenant from among registered tenants within the land development block. The committee determines the duration and renewability of these newly established relationships, and the land tenure control board prepares draft leases for the signature of the parties. The parties have the opportunity to make adjustments in some provisions of the agreement, but eventually the owner must rent the land. If owner and renter do not agree, the land tenure control board has the authority to prepare an instrument, which has the effect of a properly entered and approved lease agreement.

At the time the deed of reallocation is recorded, old lease relationships are terminated, and agreements entered in connection with land development become effective.

3. Temporary Use

The executory phase of land development is lengthy; it involves both the construction of works and facilities of a public nature and the preparation and exchange of privately-owned land. Only at the end of the process is the cadastral record of land ownership adjusted in light of activities and exchanges of land planned and carried out during the land development process. Thus, often during
land development, it is necessary for ground to be available for use by an individual or an entity other than the recognized owner or user. For example, public works or facilities may need to be constructed on land that is not yet actually owned by the government, but that will eventually be assigned to government ownership and management. 322 Through special provisions for temporary use, land on which such facilities are to be constructed is taken out of its normal use, and the owner or user is compensated either with other land (to minimize disruption of the farm enterprise) or in money. 323

In addition, the possibility for interim use of land within the block lets users benefit from improvements before land is transferred to its new owners. 324 This may contribute to increased efficiency for agricultural facilities. For example, such a possibility is helpful in instances when whole farms are moved, and also to permit the immediate use of newly laid-out farmland parcels. The ability to obtain land for development near the beginning of the landinrichting execution also contributes to the early realization of provisions for maintenance of landscape and nature values. 325

Provisions for temporary use included in the Landinrichtingswet are a more detailed application of a principle already included in the 1954 Ruilverkavelingswet: if the interests of land development demanded, land could be taken and exploited before final transfer of title. 326 Under the Landinrichtingswet, temporary or interim use of land within the block (or a part of a block) may occur only pursuant to a formal plan of temporary use. 327

The local committee drafts this plan and submits it to the Central Committee for approval, after which there is public notice and opportunity for objections. 328 If no objections are served, or if the local committee can reach agreement with those who objected, the

322. See LIW, arts. 128; 189, lid 3. See also arts. 142, lid 1; 143, lid 1 (temporary use of land to be taken through the korting is possible).
326. Ruilverkavelingswet, art. 54, lid 4, Ned. Staats. 101-1 (1984). The performance of work on public facilities during landinrichting differs in a crucial way from work done under ordinary circumstances by a municipality or water district. The local committee, unlike other entities, can take the necessary land on a temporary basis without first getting the legal rights to ownership or use. Vonk, uitvoering, supra note 239, at 248.
327. LIW, art. 189, lid 1. The rather formal nature of this plan of temporary use (tijdelijk gebruik) has caused some difficulties. Establishment of the plan is time-consuming, in light of the temporary nature of what is to be accomplished through the plan.
328. LIW, art. 190.
plan is established. Otherwise objections are resolved by the judge, who modifies the plan of temporary use as necessary and establishes it. The plan, as established, regulates the ability of the local committee to use land or assign its use to others before final recording of the deed of reallocation. Owners and users of land within the block must cooperate with the local committee's activities, as outlined in the plan of temporary use.

4. The Plan of Reallocation

The reallocation of parcels of farmland to achieve better agricultural efficiency is an important goal of land development. This rearrangement of land ownership and use is thus an essential part of ruilverkaveling and herinrichting projects. In ruilverkaveling, the whole area must be reallocated, whereas in herinrichting, it is possible that less than the whole area will be subject to reallocation. Although the eventual reallocation is considered in the preparatory stages of the project, when research towards an effective reparcelling begins, establishment of the final plan is one of the last stages in the land development process. It can be designed only after the local committee has accurate information about the allocation rights of owners and users within the block, as well as certainty about the land actually available to satisfy these rights (that is, land not set aside for public facilities). The content of the plan is directed by guidelines established for each land development block.

329. LIW, arts. 192, 193.
330. LIW, arts. 194, 175, 176. No appeal from the decision of the rechter-commissaris is possible. Id., art. 194, lid 3.
331. LIW, arts. 189, lid 1; 129; 9.
332. See LIW, art. 14, lid 3. Reparcelling need not occur in the whole herinrichting region. Indeed, in theory, herinrichting does not always need to be combined with reparcelling. Memorie van Toelichting Tweede Kamer, at 52, printed in Landinrichtingswet, Ned. Staats. 101, at 15-16 (1985). It is quite unlikely, however, that herinrichting will occur without any reallocation; it is more likely that only part of the project area will be reallocated.
333. Preparation of the plan of reallocation is supported by computer research performed during the preparatory phase of the land development project. The area is divided into small blocks (30-100 hectares), and a programming method is applied, with the goal of minimizing the distances between lots of individual farms and the total number of lots. The program will also accept preferences for the location of lots and farms—for example, the amount of land to be located near the farmstead—and accommodate the preferences in degrees of priority. Following realization of the preferences, the program will optimize distances to lots and number of parcels. The program helps to indicate the possibilities and problems of the reallocation, but it does not actually identify the location of each owner's lots. See I. De Boer, Intovol: Interactive realloiment research in the preparatory phase of land consolidation projects, (Landinrichtingsdienst, Informational Paper No. 9, 1986).
334. LIW, arts. 195-206.
by the Central Committee. The guidelines reflect the land use situation in the block, as well as the goals to be achieved and limitations to be observed in the process of reallocation.

The *Landinrichtingswet* establishes the most important requirements for the reallocation. As already indicated, landowners and users have the right (subject to statutory limitations) to receive land of the same agricultural use value as that contributed to the project. In addition, if the interests of the project are not thereby diverted, the land each party receives should be of the same quality and use category as the land contributed. The law also establishes minimal requirements for each new parcel. Each parcel must have access via a public road or waterway. In addition, insofar as necessary and possible, each parcel must have adequate drainage.

The reparcelling, however, always is designed to realize a better situation than that obtained by merely meeting these minimal requirements. Higher standards, formulated as goals rather than rules, focus on the optimum arrangement for efficient farm operation. For example, an efficient dairy operation requires the majority of land to be located adjacent to the farmstead. Such favorable location is less essential for crop raising, but cost-effective operation still requires each farmer’s land to be consolidated into the smallest possible number of separate parcels, efficiently shaped and located as close as possible to the farm buildings. Consolidation minimizes transportation expenses and the required time for labor. The importance of such consolidated holdings for effective land use sometimes means that in cases of conflict the needs of ground users for contiguous parcels may take priority over the wishes of land owners in reallocation.

In the course of designing the plan of reallocation, not all land is actually exchanged. On average, perhaps forty percent of land changes ownership during *landinrichting*; the percentage varies from project to project and may range from thirty to fifty per-

---

335. *LIW*, art. 195 requires these guidelines. The local committee sends a proposal for the guidelines to the Central Committee, in accordance with the instructions from the Central Committee. *Regeling werkwijze Landinrichtingscommissie, supra* note 144, art. 47.

336. *LIW*, arts. 139, lid 1; 140.

337. *LIW*, art. 148.

338. *LIW*, art. 149.

339. On the various benefits to be achieved from land development, see T. Tanis, *Baten van Landinrichting, supra* note 45, at 1-7.

340. *Landinrichtingsdienst, Model Richtlijnen voor het opmaken van het plan van toedeling*, at 4 (no date).
cent. Normally, land on which houses and buildings stand remains with the farm and is not exchanged. In principle, all other agricultural land is available for exchange in the re-parcelling process. As a practical matter, however, land with special use or geographic and other constraints normally remains with the original owner and user. This applies, for example, to woodlands or fruit-growing land because of its special use, and to particularly wet ground because of the reluctance of new owners to accept it. Land that has toxic environmental contaminants or harmful agricultural pests also cannot be exchanged readily.

Another factor in the design of the plan is the desires of the landowners and users. After the Central Committee establishes guidelines, the local committee is required to hold one or more meetings at which landowners and users have the opportunity to state their wishes with respect to the plan of reallocation. These wishes help to form the basis of the plan. Inevitably, however, compromises must be made so that the most efficient use of farmland can be accomplished for the majority of owners and users.

a. Drafting and Approving the Plan

Following the guidelines provided by the Central Committee, the local committee is charged with formulating the plan, which identifies the new parcels, specifies the allocation of ground not already assigned to public bodies, and regulates taking possession of the new parcels. The actual design of the plan, however, is the responsibility of the land registry office (kadaster), which has access to detailed records concerning geographic and legal aspects of land ownership. The completed plan requires approval of the Central

341. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987, supplemented by review of the manuscript.
342. See LIW, art. 10, leden 3 & 4 (permission of owner required for change regarding buildings unless this limitation interferes with the land development project). The possibility of moving whole farms exists, however. Art. 10, lid 1 places restrictions on changes in land use of cemeteries, and lid 2, of military lands.
343. Vonk, uitvoering, supra note 239, at 251.
344. LIW, art. 198. These meetings are called wenszittingen, that is, wish sessions; parties have the chance to state their first and second choice preferences for land they will receive.
345. LIW, art. 196, leden 1 & 2. Other items included are details about lease relationships and allocation rights of renters, as well as the situation with regard to other rights and burdens (for example, mortgages and assignments) connected with real property. Id., arts. 196, lid 2; 160.
346. LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, JAARVERSLAG 1985, supra note 51, at 39-40. The Kadaster works in agreement with the district engineer and a forestry and landscape consultant. Regeling werkwijze, supra note 144, art. 48. After agreement is reached, the draft plan
Committee. 347

The plan of reallocation affects important property rights. Thus, like other significant documents in the land development process, the plan must be available for public inspection. For reasons of finality, the public notice and comment period can occur only after other decisions are realized: the list of rightful claimants must be established; the valuation results must be finalized; the delimitation plan must be established; all eligible leases must have been submitted; and the wish sessions must have been held. 348 After the one-month inspection period, parties in interest have fourteen days in which to submit written objections. 349 If no objections are served, the plan is established. 350

Any objections submitted on time are handled first by the local committee, which tries to reach agreement with the party objecting, making changes to the plan as necessary in accordance with the agreement. 351 Other objections are sent to the judge (rechter-commissaris) and, failing resolution, to the rechtbank, 352 in a process similar to appeals from the establishment of the list of rightful claimants and the results of the first valuation. 353 After its decisions, the rechtbank adjusts the plan as necessary and establishes the plan. 354

is sent to the local committee for further work. Developments toward increasing computerization of this process are occurring.

The Kadaster also makes detailed maps for the land development block.

347. LIW, art. 199, lid 2.
348. LIW, art. 199, lid 1. The list of rightful claimants must be finalized except for the right of cassation to the Hoge Raad. If the high court decision makes changes in the plan necessary, those can be made. Id., art. 203.
349. LIW, art. 200.
350. LIW, art. 201. Then the local committee makes a report, sent to the rechter-commissaris and the Central Committee.
351. LIW, arts. 202; 172, leden 2 & 3.
352. Relatively few appeals actually reach the court; normally parties are willing to compromise, and the local committee can resolve objections. See supra note 294. Moreover, social pressure sometimes prevents parties from pursuing objections that do not promote the common good. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987.
353. LIW, art. 202, which refers to other articles. Cassation from the decision of the rechtbank is available only in the interest of the law.
354. LIW, art. 202, lid e.

A process exists for the simultaneous drawing up and public comment on the list of rightful claimants, the register of valuation results, and the plan of reallocation. The process must be authorized by the Central Committee at the suggestion of the local committee. Id., art. 205, lid 1. In this situation, objections against the list and valuation will be heard first, id., art. 205, lid 2; the plan must then be changed accordingly, followed by new notice and opportunity to object to the amended plan, id., art. 206, leden 1 & 2. The new objections are then considered with the others submitted against the plan of reallocation. Id., art. 206, lid 3. This simultaneous treatment can save time in the reparation procedure.
b. The Deed of Reallocation

The plan of reallocation establishes the land ownership and use situation that will prevail for privately-owned land at the conclusion of the land development project. The actual transfer of land ownership, however, is accomplished through the deed of allocation. This document, accompanied by a detailed map delineating the new situation within the land development block, incorporates the changes into the cadastral records.

The deed can be prepared only after assignment of public facilities to the appropriate bodies, establishment of the plan of reallocation, and finalization of the list of rightful claimants. The deed, signed by the rechter-commissaris and the president and secretary of the local committee, constitutes transfer of legal title to the rights described in the deed. Recording the deed in the public register vests title to the rights in their new owners. In addition, on the basis of the deed, the public records indicate that each registered mortgage or attachment will henceforth be transferred to the relevant owner’s newly assigned land. After the deed is recorded, new owners and users go into possession of their land, if they have not received possession earlier.

c. Preparation of New Parcels

When the plan of reallocation has been established, the arrangement of new lots within the land development block has also been determined. The structure of these new lots often differs significantly from the situation existing before the landinrichting. Thus, a considerable amount of work is sometimes required before the parcels are efficient, or even acceptable, for their new owners and users. This work is considered parcel lay-out work, and it involves both the measures necessary for the parcels to be acceptable and further measures intended to improve the lots for better productivity.

The first type of work, parcel acceptance measures, involves activities that will make the newly formed parcels comparable in sur-
face level and drainage with the parcels the new owners or users originally had; these measures make the land suitable for exchange. The work involved may include building of connecting dams, the filling of unnecessary canals, and the construction of new dividing lines for the parcels. In many cases, it is most efficient and least expensive if the new owners and users do this work themselves. In this way the farmer can time the work for minimum disruption with agricultural activities. The farmer's plan of work must be approved by the local commission, to qualify for the cost subsidy available. 360

Additional measures for parcel improvement are designed to increase the productivity of the land and to supplement the measures essential for acceptability of the land. These measures (for example, parcel leveling or improvement of sod or drainage) are anticipated in the land development plan, but are optional for the owners. Each owner bears the majority of the cost of these further improvements on his land, and is responsible for getting the work done (personally or through a hired company or workers), again according to an approved plan. 361 In some situations, these optional improvements can add significantly to the increased income that the farmer can earn from the land.

5. Valuation: The Second Appraisal

A number of years may separate the first and second appraisals, because the second appraisal is designed to evaluate the situation regarding land value as it exists at the conclusion of the land development project, after improvements and exchanges of land have been completed. The second appraisal, carried out by the same appraisers, follows both the system of classification already established and further instructions provided by the Central Committee. 362 It determines the benefit that each owner received from the land development, and thus serves as the basis for distributing costs of the project over the various owners. To calculate this benefit (measured by increase in value), each owner's situation after improvements and reallocation is compared with his situation at the

---

360. The kingdom will pay 65 percent of the cost of parcel acceptance works (kavelaanvardingwerken). See Landinrichtingsdienst, De Landinrichtingswet, supra note 50, at 35. See also Vonk, uitvoering, supra note 239, at 250.

361. The subsidy is only 40 percent. Landinrichtingsdienst, De Landinrichtingswet, supra note 50, at 35. The maximum contribution per hectare depends on the type of work involved. Vonk, uitvoering, supra note 239, at 250.

time of the first appraisal. An owner whose holdings show a large increase in value has received more benefit from the project than has an owner with only a small increase in value. And an owner cannot be assessed more than the actual increase in value of his real property. 363

Changes in value of an owner’s real property that are identified in the second appraisal have resulted as a consequence either of work performed during the project or of land exchanges occurring pursuant to the plan of allocation. Several main types of changes are involved. 364 Among these are alterations in value of land as a result of changes in the objective (distance of parcels and buildings from paved roads and water management) and subjective (considerations of parcel size, shape, and distance) factors. 365

The appraisal also considers value changes through performance of works to improve the ground and through changes connected with public roads and waterways. In addition, the second appraisal considers “settlement items” (verrekenposten): factors that are relevant when land is transferred from one owner to another. These include changes in agrarian value of the land that have not been considered in the first appraisal; the value of buildings, facilities, and vegetation; factors like the ground level and presence of drainage or high tension power lines; as well as the nonagricultural value of the ground. 366

The settlement item concerned with changes in the agrarian value of the land focuses on either improvement or deterioration of the land that occurs after the decision for land development has been finalized. 367 Owners who make improvements will not be compensated for these unless they are carried out with permission of the local committee. To avoid a situation in which farmers neglect or abuse land that will be allocated to another owner, the law provides that after a decision for land development is concluded, land owners and users are forbidden to carry out operations, or to omit operations demanded for normal management, that will change the

363. Memorie van Toelichting, at 70, cited in Ned. Staats. 101, at 141. See also LIW, art. 233, lid 1/b (restricting costs for owners to the value of improvements received).
364. See LIW, art. 210, lid 1; Leidraad, supra note 279, at 21-23.
365. Leidraad, supra note 279, at 21-22, 36-37.
366. Leidraad, supra note 279, at 21, 24. In theory, with regard to a specific parcel, the amounts received or paid by the outgoing owner should equal those paid or received by the new owner. But this is not always the case, and sometimes the difference is divided among all owners. Id. at 24.
367. See LIW, arts. 49 (herinrichting), 71 (ruilverkaveling), & 117 (aanpassingsrichting).
value of the property, unless they have permission of the local committee. An owner who causes deterioration must pay the new owner for the damage, and may also be subject to a fine.

The financial obligations that result from land development will be calculated on the basis of data established during the second valuation.

**C. Costs of Land Development**

1. Division of Expenses

The complicated nature of land development projects and the often-extensive work necessary for an effective rearrangement of the rural area mean that substantial costs will be incurred. These costs are shared by the kingdom (that is, the state government), other public bodies, and owners of land within the land development block.

In fact, the state government bears the majority of the costs: an average of sixty-five to seventy percent of the total project expenses. The government pays the costs of the Central Committee, the Landinrichtingsdienst, and the cadaster and registry services, as well as the expenses of meetings and public notice. It also pays compensation for relinquishment of reallocation rights. In addition, the government subsidizes many other aspects of land development at varying levels, ranging upwards from forty percent.

Other public bodies—for example, municipalities and water boards—also contribute significantly to the expenses of land development. Costs connected with public roads and waterways, outdoor recreation, landscape, and other public provisions are generally

---

368. If the first owner is deemed innocent, the value decreases are added to total costs and divided proportionately among all landowners. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987.

369. LIW, art. 231. The fine is a maximum of 10,000 guilders. The problem of abuse or neglect of land seldom occurs, because of strong social controls. Farmers are aware of the activities of their neighbors, and this awareness discourages inappropriate behavior. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987.

370. See infra, text accompanying notes 390-92.

371. See supra note 212 and infra text accompanying notes 385-88 for statistics on expenses incurred for land development.

372. LIW, art. 221.

373. LIW, art. 222, lid 1.

374. LIW, arts. 122, lid 2; 146, lid 3. This payment is available to the extent that the sums are not included in the owner-to-owner settlement costs.

375. For example, the costs of moving farms, agricultural parcel improvement work, and farm access ways are subsidized at 40 percent; parcel acceptance work and costs of the local committee and valuation, at 65 percent. Landinrichtingsdienst, Overzicht van subsidie percentages, 4 December 1986.
shared with the state government by the body that will own or manage the facility. Costs are assessed against these public bodies to the extent that the bodies obligated themselves to bear financial responsibility in connection with the land development program, plan, or in another agreement.\textsuperscript{376}

Individual owners bear the remainder of expenses to the extent that those expenses are not subsidized by the government. Subsidies, however, mean that the owners are unlikely to bear more than ten to fifteen percent of the total project costs. Expenses borne by owners are of two types: those assessed against individual owners and those assessed against the owners collectively.

Individual owners pay the unsubsidized costs of measures relating directly to their parcels, rather than to the project as a whole. These costs involve items like planting of windbreaks, farm relocation, parcel improvement works, and individual access roads. To some extent, these expenses are incurred voluntarily by the owners with the intention of improving the productivity of their land. Generally, the owner's share of these individually-incurred costs must be paid in cash.\textsuperscript{377}

Other expenses incurred on behalf of the land development and not otherwise subsidized or payable pursuant to agreement are assessed against the owners collectively.\textsuperscript{378} These include the unsubsidized portion of certain administrative expenses (for example, costs of the local committee and of valuation), as well as part of the costs of physical work connected with agricultural land (for example, parcel acceptance works).

These general expenses are divided among the parcels in accordance with the benefit that the land development has created for each owner. This benefit is determined on the basis of the second valuation.\textsuperscript{379} An owner cannot be asked to pay more than the increase in value he receives from the land development.\textsuperscript{380} Moreover, no levy and collection will take place against an owner whose costs are below a minimum amount.\textsuperscript{381}

These costs assessed against owners are advanced by the govern-

\textsuperscript{376} LIW, art. 222, lid 3. \textit{See also} arts. 35, lid 2; 87, lid 4.

\textsuperscript{377} LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, \textit{De Landinrichtingswet}, \textit{supra} note 50, at 37.

\textsuperscript{378} LIW, art. 222, lid 4.

\textsuperscript{379} LIW, arts. 223, lid 1; 210, lid 1/a. On second valuation, \textit{see supra} text accompanying notes 362-70.

\textsuperscript{380} LIW, art. 223, lid 1/b.

\textsuperscript{381} LIW, art. 233, lid 1/a. That amount, established by a government decree (\textit{algemene maatregel van bestuur}) has been set at 200 guilders. Besluit landinrichtingsrente, Staatsblad 1986, 96 (3 March 1986).
ment. The amount later due from the owners, called landinrichtingsrente, burdens the parcels and is recorded against the land in the cadastral records. This rente is then repaid over a period of twenty-six years at the rate of six percent of the assessed amount per year. Payment begins the year following notice of the amount. The assessment may instead be paid in cash, and it may be redeemed during any year by paying its established value at that time.

The costs that burden individuals within a land development block vary depending on the expenses involved in that project and, of course, on the nature of the parcels involved. As part of the evaluation stage of the land development project, costs are estimated for the whole block on an average per hectare/per year basis. In one project, for example, costs to owners were estimated at 192 guilders per hectare per year, including the landinrichtingsrente, the costs of parcel improvement work and farm building, and higher drainage taxes. In another project, rente was estimated at seventy-six guilders per hectare per year; improvements and farm building, 132 guilders; and drainage, 10 guilders. A third project estimated rente at forty-seven guilders per hectare per year and costs of parcel improvement and building at eighty-eight guilders per hectare per year.

These costs, however, must be considered in light of the estimated increases in productivity per hectare: for the three projects, an average of 627, 712, and 663 guilders per hectare per year.

---

382. LIW, arts. 223, lid 2; 226, lid 1; and 227. If a cadastral parcel is later divided, the established rente for the parcel is divided in proportion to the cadastral size of the new parcels. If a parcel is combined with another, the rente from the parcels is also combined. The rente then burdens the newly formed parcels. Art. 228.

383. LIW, arts. 225; 226, lid 1. Real interest is about three percent.

384. LIW, art. 230. The amount to be paid in a given year is stated as a multiple of the annual amount. For example, if 20 years of payments remain, the owner must pay 14.053 times the annual rent to retire the debt. Regeling afkoop landinrichtingsrente, 9 april 1986. Minister van Financien, Nr. 086-656.

385. MINISTERIE VAN LANDBOUW EN VISSERIJ, LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, HERINRICHTING HITLAND, ONTWERP-PLAN, at 62 (1986). Hitland involves a small project of only 640 hectares; its goals are not primarily agricultural, but instead focus on outdoor recreation.

386. MINISTERIE VAN LANDBOUW EN VISSERIJ, LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, RUILVERKAVELING SCHAGERKOGGE, ONTWERP-PLAN, at 60 (1986). This project involves 3670 hectares.

387. Ministerie van Landbouw en Visserij, Landinrichtingsdienst, Ruilverkaveling Wieringen, Ontwerp-plan, at 60 (1986). This project involves about 2310 hectares.

388. The figure for Wieringen is for dairy farming and considers the effects of the superheffing (additional levy on milk production). Without the levy, the estimate is 761 guilders. The estimate for crop farming is 400 guilders in increased productivity per hectare per year.
Of course, the improved earnings will vary depending on each farmer's management skills and individual decisions about voluntary improvements. 389

2. List of Financial Arrangements

The costs of the project assessed to the individual owners are reflected in the List of Financial Arrangements. This document sets out the monetary rights and responsibilities of the owners involved in the land development. 390 It publicizes the results of the second valuation in terms of changes in agricultural value and the resulting share of land development costs assessed against each owner, as well as changes in value of parcels as the result of works performed during the land development. The list also reflects the financial results of the korting for public facilities, over-or-under allocation of property, unpaid compensation for damages incurred during the project, and the compensation for termination of property rights (for example, the sale of rights of allocation). The list also includes the settlement items connected with transfer of land from one owner to another. 391 Thus, the List of Financial Arrangements can include adjustments between the government and individuals, between individuals and other public bodies, and between two individuals or public bodies. 392

The list is calculated by the local committee, with approval of the Central Committee. 393 After approval, it must be available for public inspection and objection. 394 The appeals process is similar to that available for the plan of reallocation. 396

389. See also Barlagen, Boeren, supra note 14, at 320-24 for other examples.
390. LIW, arts. 211-218. Although the list of financial arrangements does not refer to land users, the land owner who must pay a share of the cost of land development can pass part of that cost on to the land user by increasing the rent. A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, review of manuscript.
391. See Vonk, uitvoering, supra note 239, at 252.
392. Landinrichtingsdienst, De Landinrichtingswet, supra note 50, at 36.
393. LIW, arts. 211; 213, lid 1.
394. LIW, arts. 213, lid 2; 214.
395. LIW, arts. 214-218.

On the suggestion of the local committee, the Central Committee can specify that the plan of reallocation and the list of financial arrangements are to be prepared and subject to inspection together. Objections to the plan will be handled first, and corresponding adjustments to the financial arrangements will be made. LIW, art. 219, leden 1 & 2. When such adjustments are made, parties in interest are to receive written notice and additional time to serve objections. Id., art. 220.
VI. TWO SPECIAL PROCEDURES

A. Readaptation (Aanpassingsinrichting)

The development of major infrastructural improvements normally consumes land, often productive agricultural land. Moreover, the location of the improvement project—for example a major road, a railroad track, or a waterway—may result in negative effects even for land not actually required for construction of the project. So, for example, farms may be split into parcels located on opposite sides of the new highway, or plots remaining in farmers' ownership may be small, odd-shaped, and inefficient to farm.

In the past, some ruilverkaveling projects had been carried out in connection with the other developments, for example a major highway. These situations proved to be particularly efficient and cost saving. The ruilverkaveling made it less expensive to avoid or reduce the effects caused when the road cut through the rural area. The exchange of land avoided situations in which, for example, a farmer owned land on opposite sides of the highway and thus eliminated need for viaducts. Also payment of damages for injury from inefficient parcel shape and resulting detours was reduced.396

Consequently, the Landinrichtingswet includes a form of land development designed to avoid or minimize the disadvantageous land-use consequences of regionally or nationally important infrastructural projects. This procedure, called readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting),397 thus may be used only in connection with such improvement projects. It involves careful coordination of the infrastructure project and the land development, and thus close cooperation between the body authorized to build the road or other project and the land development professionals. Readaptation is not designed to remedy situations in which the infrastructural provision is already completed; remedial improvements are initiated by a request for redevelopment (herinrichting) or consolidation (ruilverkaveling).398

397. See LIW, arts. 94-118.
1. The Initiative for Readaptation

Unlike consolidation (ruilverkaveling) and redevelopment (herinrichting), areas for which readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting) is possible are not indicated on the map accompanying the Structuur-schema, nor are they included in the Voorbereidingschema. Instead, the official body authorized to develop and pay for the infrastructural project of regional or national importance decides whether readaptation would facilitate the project. The initiative for readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting) occurs when that body submits a request, supported by reasons, to the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries.

The Central Land Development Committee has the responsibility for determining whether and to what extent readaptation is desirable. After investigation of the existing land use situation, the proposed infrastructural project, and its detrimental effects on land use, the Committee decides whether readaptation is appropriate for ensuring functional land arrangement and for achieving cost savings. The Committee expresses its views in writing to the public body that requested the readaptation and to the deputed states of the relevant province.

In addition, if readaptation is desirable, the Committee must accompany its statement of views with a proposal for readaptation. The proposal is to include a description of the infrastructural provision and the expected detrimental effects on the arrangement of land in the region, as well as an explanation of measures and provisions recommended to mitigate those effects and an estimate of the relevant costs and their division.

The proposal also will include a description, as accurate as possible, of the block within which the readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting) will take place, with the borders illustrated on a

399. See supra text accompanying notes 175-81.
400. This public body may be, for example, the state, provincial states, a municipality, or a water board.
401. LIW, art. 94.
402. LIW, arts. 95, 96.
403. LIW, art. 97, lid 1. See, e.g., Centrale Landinrichtings Commissie, Zienswije Aanpassingsinrichting Beek (1985).
404. LIW, art. 97, lid 2.
405. LIW, art. 98, leden a, c, e. Costs related to the infrastructural project and mitigation of detrimental effects generally are the responsibility of the public body authorized to carry out the project. Other costs of readaptation fall under the financial rules for land development. See, e.g., Centrale Landinrichtings Commissie, Voorstel Aanpassingsinrichting Beek, at 6 (1985).
Normally, the readaptation will involve a limited area in close connection with the infrastructural project. If the main goal of readaptation is to eliminate the detrimental effects of the project for agricultural land use, the area for readaptation should be defined on the basis of the agricultural businesses affected by the project. Although the infrastructural project lies within the block, it is not considered part of the readaptation area.

Another important element of the proposal is an explanation of how the land necessary for the infrastructural project and for a successful readaptation will be obtained. Land needed for the infrastructural project must be obtained independently. Methods prescribed for acquiring land for readaptation cannot be used, because the infrastructural project is not considered part of the readaptation area. The Bureau for Agricultural Land Management (Bureau Beheer Landbouwgronden) will generally attempt to buy the land necessary for successful readaptation from owners willing to sell. If voluntary sales do not generate enough land for the infrastructural project, land can be obtained through compulsory purchase, sometimes with compensation to land owners and users.

2. The Decision to Proceed

Preparation for a readaption project is much like simplified preparation for *ruilverkaveling*; added to the process, however, is frequent consultation and coordination with the public body responsible for the infrastructural project (the competent authority). After preparation of the proposal, a land development committee is established. In consultation with the competent authority and on the basis of the Central Committee's proposal, the local committee prepares a preliminary draft of the readaptation plan. The plan

---

406. LIW, art. 98, leden b, f.
408. LIW, art 17, lid 3. See Memorie van Toelichting II, at 53, printed in Landinrichtingswet, Ned. Staats. 101, at 17-18 (1985). This provision is significant in connection with the art. 143 deduction of 3% of the value of property in the block, to make land available for public needs. Inclusion of the infrastructure area in the block would result in pressures on the needs of land owners within the block. It is not possible to apply the deduction against the land needed for a road or landing strip, for example.
409. LIW, art. 98, lid d. See Centrale Landinrichtings Commissie, Vorstel Aanpassingsinrichting Beek, at 5 (1985).
411. The committee is established by the deputed states of the relevant province, in consultation with the Central Committee and with the competent public body. LIW, art. 99.
412. LIW, arts. 101, 103. The local committee follows the working procedures established by the Minister of Agriculture, in agreement with the competent authority and in
contains more detail than the proposal about the area for readaptation and the measures and provisions expected and their costs. After public notice and opportunity for comment, the preliminary draft is sent to the Central Committee, which establishes the draft plan, after consultation with the competent authority.

The deputed states of the relevant province actually establish the plan, but only after public notice and opportunity for comment. Normally, the deputed states establish the plan within six months of the end of the comment period. At the time the plan is established, or within three months thereafter, the deputed states decide to proceed with the readaptation (aanpassingsinrichting). Notice of the decision for the readaptation is provided to the public, as well as to public bodies involved.

3. Performance of Readaptation

Once the decision for aanpassingsinrichting has been made, the project can be carried out. The performance of the project is similar to the process for redevelopment (herinrichting) and consolidation (ruilverkaveling). It is complicated by the requirement of coordination with the competent authority at every juncture, but simplified because the land area involved is normally quite small.

During performance of the project, the competent authority is responsible for construction of the infrastructural provision that led to the readaptation. The local land development committee is responsible for carrying out the readaptation plan. Coordination of the two aspects of development in the region may raise difficulties, especially if the new road, air strip, or other project is completed before the readaptation project has been fully implemented.

consultation with the Central Committee. LIW, art. 100.
413. Contents of the plan are specified in article 102 of the LIW.
414. LIW, art. 104.
415. LIW, arts. 105, 106.
416. LIW, art. 107.
417. LIW, art. 108. The law provides special procedures for instances in which the deputed states deviate from the draft plan or from advice of the Central Committee and the competent authority. LIW, arts. 109; 111, lid 2.
418. LIW, art. 111, lid 1. The Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries is authorized to establish the plan or reach a decision for readaptation, if the deputed states fail to decide within the statutory deadlines. LIW, art. 112.
419. LIW, art. 113.
420. LIW, art. 124, lid 1.
As in the other types of land development, readaptation involves both publicly-managed structures and land used for farming and other nonpublic purposes. The former is the subject of the delimitation plan (begrenzingenplan)\(^\text{422}\) which identifies the situation involving roads, watercourses, recreation areas, and other public facilities. These public works are carried out under the supervision of the land development committee. Often the land occupied by these facilities is transferred to public bodies. It is not available for reallocation to owners and tenants.\(^\text{423}\)

Reparcelling of land in a readaptation project takes place in a single block.\(^\text{424}\) Owners and ground users generally enjoy the same rights in readaptation as they do in redevelopment (herinrichting) and consolidation (ruilverkaveling).\(^\text{425}\) These include, among others, the right of an owner to receive land of the same value that he brought into the project, some opportunity to sell land or reallocation rights voluntarily, and appeal possibilities. In readaptation, as in herinrichting, the law permits the reduction of each owner’s right to land by a maximum of three percent.\(^\text{426}\) The land acquired through this reduction may be used for construction or improvement of public roads and watercourses and related provisions, as well as for measures and provisions concerning nature, landscape, and outdoor recreation.\(^\text{427}\)

**B. Consolidation by Agreement (Ruilverkaveling bij Overeenkomst)**

As the preceding discussion has indicated, land development projects in the Netherlands involve substantial mental and financial commitment both from the government and from participants. The new arrangement of a land area as large as 40,000 hectares (or even as small as 1,500 hectares) requires careful, time-consuming planning. It often involves expensive road and waterway construction or improvement, as well as readaptation and exchange of farmland. Normally by the time a project is completed, a new genera-

\(^{422}\) LIW, arts. 131-138. The delimitation plan, drafted initially by the local committee and transmitted through the Central Committee, is established by the deputed states. LIW, art. 131.

\(^{423}\) See A. Crijns, Regulative Phase, supra note 15, at 14.

\(^{424}\) LIW, art. 16, lid 2.

\(^{425}\) See supra text accompanying notes 274-320.

\(^{426}\) LIW, art. 143.

\(^{427}\) LIW, arts. 143, 141.
tion of Dutch farmers is already using the land.428

Not all agricultural structure problems, however, require this complicated approach. In some instances, extensive adaptation of an entire area is not required; instead, the structure of a number of farm businesses can be improved significantly by exchange of land parcels with other land owners in the area. For livestock farmers, such structural improvement focuses on increasing the size of the parcel located adjacent to the farmstead. For arable agriculture, good structure requires large parcels with workable shapes. The results of improvements in parcelling include lower production and energy costs, as well as better working conditions for the farmer.429

Farmers who want to remedy structural problems through exchange of parcels can use a relatively simple procedure provided in the land development law: ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst, that is, consolidation by agreement.430 Statutory authority for this procedure has survived with relatively few substantive changes from the 1954 Ruilverkavelingswet.431 Using ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst (called kavelruil),432 three or more landowners agree to bring together their land, reparcel it in a specified manner, and redistribute the land among participants by deed.433 Government technical and financial assistance is available. Thus, the procedure offers a relatively quick and inexpensive way to make significant structural improvements for agriculture.434

Ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst is voluntary, and each owner must decide whether to participate in a proposed project. The law provides, however, that at least three owners must participate. Although no maximum number of owners is specified, projects are more manageable when the number is limited. Normally, a project

429. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 256-57.
430. LIW, arts. 17, 119-123.
432. The Landinrichtingswet, art. 122, lid 1, provides that each individual agreement must be approved by the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries. Because in practice this approach would be cumbersome, the Minister has given general approval to agreements under certain conditions, through the Regeling Kavelruil, infra note 447. See generally Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140.
433. LIW, art. 17.
434. Ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst can take place in an area in preparation or performance of a normal ruilverkaveling or herinrichting project, in which case the consensual exchange must have approval of the local land development committee. If the land development is already in the performance stage, other conditions (most importantly, time limitations) apply. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 257.
will involve three to ten landowners; the average area of land involved is twenty-five to thirty hectares.

The exchange of ground is the most important aspect of ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst. In theory, each owner will receive the same number of hectares as he contributed to the project. In practice, however, adjustments in the rights of the owners will occur. Some owners may decide to end farming, making additional land available for others in the project. Individuals may also participate in the project through contribution of money rather than land. That is, purchase and sale transactions related to the land exchange can occur. In addition, the structure of land in the project may require some deviation from the expected allocation to each owner. These adjustments are compensated in money, preferably at a price established for the entire area before each farmer knows the outcome of the exchange for his individual enterprise.

Although the exchange of ground is the primary concern, minor parcel adaptation works are also possible. For example, ditches may have to be filled or other work accomplished to achieve acceptable new parcels. These adaptation works must be consistent with the land use plan of the municipality. Moreover, ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst cannot occur if improvements in roads and waterways are needed. Those major structural projects can be undertaken only in the normal land development procedures.

Kavelruil is accomplished in a relatively short time—generally two years, but at most three years, from initial application. Interested owners take the initiative themselves, but receive governmental assistance in reaching agreement and preparing a formal proposal for the project. Parcel adaptation works in the proposal must be evaluated in light of nature protection considerations. Participants enter a formal agreement, which sets out the location of each owner’s old and new parcels and the rights of other parties in interest, as well as provisions for payment of costs and other guidelines for the exchange of parcels. The agreement may incorporate a number of provisions from the Landinrichtingswet concerning per--

436. LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, DE LANDINRICHTINGSWET, supra note 50, at 46.
437. LIW, art. 121.
439. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 257.
440. LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, DE LANDINRICHTINGSWET, supra note 50, at 46.
441. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 258. For a brief description of the procedures involved, see id., at 258-59.
442. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 258.
formance of work, reparation, and division of costs. The agreement signed by participants is recorded in the public register and becomes binding on successors in title. A notary’s deed accomplishes the actual exchange of land parcels.

The government provides financial subsidies for a number of the costs involved in consensual land exchange, if the agreement has been approved by the Government Service for Land and Water Use. The government will pay the total cost of preparation of the agreement, as well as of the notary’s deed whereby the property is distributed. In addition, a subsidy of fifty percent of the cost of adaptation works (to a maximum of 800 guilders per hectare) is available. Even the subsidized costs, however, must be paid initially by the parties; they are not financed by the government. For large projects (over fifty hectares), a subsidy is also available for the cost of certain plantings.

Ruilverkaveling bij overeenkomst is not a substitute for one of the more complicated forms of land development. Instead, it is a supplemental method, available for small numbers of landowners who face rather uncomplicated structural deficiencies. The number of hectares involved is small, in comparison with the large land surface undergoing land development. An average of 130 projects per year, involving perhaps 3,000 hectares, has been calculated. Between 1972 and 1985, a total of 1695 projects, including 41,197 hectares, had been completed.

CONCLUSION

As the analysis of the Landinrichtingswet and its application has indicated, land development plays a particularly significant role in
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443. LIW, art. 122. Approval by the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries is then necessary. See LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, DE LANDINRICHTINGSWET, supra note 50, at 45.
444. LIW, art. 119, lid 1. If the agreement is to be valid, any holders of mortgages or other attachments must sign the agreement. Id., art 119, lid 3.
445. LIW, art. 119, lid 2.
446. Centrale Landinrichtingscommissie, Instructie voor de voorbereiding en uitvoering van kavelruilprojecten gebaseerd op hoofdstuk V van de Landinrichtingswet, § 9.6 (1986).
447. Staatssecretaris van Landbouw en Visserij. Regeling Kavelruil, 6 November 1985, Nr. J 6257. A maximum subsidy of 125 guilders per hectare is available for plantings, and certain species are prohibited.
448. Burger, Kavelruil, supra note 140, at 257.
449. LANDINRICHTINGSDIENST, JAARVERSLAG 1985, supra note 51, at 41. By 1985, a total of 1940 projects, with a surface of 48,231 hectares, had been put forward; not all of these had been completed. In 1985, 148 projects (2724 hectares) had been put forward, and 122 (2782 hectares) completed. Many of the projects have been located in the areas of sandy soil.
the countryside of the Netherlands. From its beginnings as a purely agricultural measure to its current more comprehensive approach, land development has offered solutions to the difficult problems faced by farmers and other rural land users in this densely-populated country. Land development has offered farmers the opportunity for higher income and improved working conditions. Larger, more rationally shaped parcels, located closer to the farmstead, make farming more efficient. Better access to the countryside, as well as to buildings and land within a farming unit, decreases transportation costs and saves time. Water management, where consistent with environmental protection, makes possible improved drainage of wet areas or effective irrigation of desiccated sandy soils. The financial and social benefits accruing to farmers eventually far outweigh the costs and inconvenience accompanying the land development project.\(^{450}\) In addition to improved farm efficiency, however, land development offers an opportunity to protect and enhance existing nature and landscape values, as well as to provide new opportunities for outdoor recreation. In short, it offers a unique chance to reorganize whole areas of the Dutch countryside, in light of the most pressing needs of all sectors of society. Land development has proved remarkably adaptable; both the legal authorization and the process of development itself have accommodated the changing needs of Dutch society.

Despite the clear benefits that land development offers, it has not proceeded without criticism. In the past, for example, representatives of landscape and nature preservation interests objected to the at-first purely agricultural purposes of land development. Indeed, though landscape plans have been included since the 1930s scenic and nature protection values were often considered only after agricultural improvements were assured. This criticism, recognized as valid, has been mitigated in part by the 1985 law, which provides for nonagricultural interests and ensures careful consideration of important nature, landscape, and other values.

Land development has also been a target of economic criticism. Projects result in effective new land arrangements, to be sure, but at an annual cost to the Dutch government of millions of gilders.\(^{451}\) In a time of over-production of agricultural products\(^{452}\) and

\(^{450}\) On the benefits, see *supra* text accompanying notes 49-50.

\(^{451}\) See *supra* text accompanying notes 57-58.

\(^{452}\) E.g., Beschikking superheffing, Beschikking van de Minister van Landbouw en Visserij van 18 April 1984, no. J 1731, Stcrt. 79, 19 april 1984, printed in Ned. Staats. 110S
of animal manure, this economic criticism may seem especially compelling. Yet several responses exist. Many of the alterations made during land development (for example, better parcelling and easier access) improve efficiency and therefore lower the cost of production, but they do not actually increase the level of production. In contrast, water management, especially in dairy areas, does increase production by permitting a longer grazing season and thus more milk. But this increase is offset by the use of some land (two to six percent) in every land development project for nonagricultural requirements. Further, the increased financial pressures faced by farmers, especially in a time of farm surplus, demand greater efficiency in the farm business. Lower production costs and enhanced quality are essential for competition in the world market. In some instances, however, a consideration of alternate crops will prove necessary. The flexibility in agricultural land uses offered by redeveloped land, too, is an important component in the continued solvency of farm businesses. Indeed, now more than ever, improved production in a qualitative (rather than quantitative) sense is essential.

Land development is not uniquely Dutch; it occurs in some form, albeit often on a smaller scale, in a number of other countries. But it has proved to be a particularly appropriate solution to problems faced in the Dutch countryside, where geographic circumstances, historic land uses, and patterns of land inheritance have resulted in large numbers of small plots, sometimes irregularly shaped and distant from the homestead, and where problems of water management as well as of access to the countryside and to individual farm parcels have made farming difficult. And, in recent years, land development has proved itself capable of improving the environment for more efficient agricultural production, while at the same time

---


453. On the recent legislation concerning manure, see Brussaard, De nieuwe regelgeving betreffende de produktie van dierlijke meststoffen, 47 Agrarisch recht 402 (1987); Brussaard, Mest als nieuw terrein van milieurecht, chapter 6 in Ontwikkelingen in het milieurecht (M. Aalders & N. Koeman eds. 1987); Land Utilization Dept., Gronmij, Relationship Between Animal Husbandry, Manure Production and Environment in the Netherlands 39-48 (June 1987); The Manure Action Programme in the Netherlands, 4 Farmland Notes (NASDA Research Foundation Farmland Project)(August 1987).

454. Interview with A.M. Burger, Landinrichtingsdienst, 7 January 1987. One possible compromise, which has been adapted in a few areas, is to redevelop, but omit some water management measures, especially where it is particularly expensive and would affect important nature values.

accommodating other interests that lay claim to the countryside: nature, landscape, forestry, and outdoor recreation. Moreover, the majority of Dutch farmers have cooperated with the complex and time-consuming processes involved in land development.

One might inquire what relevance land development in the Dutch style has for American agriculture or the American countryside. The geography of America's vast farming areas contrasts with the relatively small-scale farming of the Netherlands, and in the main American agriculture does not face the inefficiencies created by centuries of farm fragmentation. Moreover, even where land reorganization might be desirable, American landowners are not conditioned to accept the level of government involvement that comprehensive land development requires. Land development in the Dutch style is clearly most appropriate for a nation facing land use problems and pressures that can be solved only through central coordination and cooperation by all levels of government, as well as by land owners and users.

Nonetheless, "shuffling with the land," as the Dutch sometimes refer to landinrichting, may well be desirable in some instances, even in America's countryside of vast farming parcels. Large infrastructural projects (for example, interstate highways) often distort existing land ownership patterns, leaving farmers with undesirably-shaped or separated parcels. The possibility of accompanying such intrusive infrastructural projects with exchanges of land could well offer farmers more workable farm parcels and lower the cost of acquiring land needed for the project. Although it seems unlikely that a mandatory scheme analogous to aanpassingsinrichting would be politically acceptable, the opportunity for farmers to exchange land, with government assistance and financial subsidy, might well be successful.

Even if land development is not immediately applicable for American agriculture, however, an understanding of this concept gives insight into a complicated and time-consuming process, supported by a thoughtfully-designed legislative scheme, implemented by careful regulatory measures, and carried out by hundreds of highly competent civil servants. Land development provides a clear example of the types of measures, albeit intrusive, available to a nation that values its farmland as an irreplaceable natural resource; it helps to explain the consistent productivity and high quality of agricultural commodities in the densely-populated Netherlands. The continued application of this complicated legal scheme, imple-
mented with the cooperation of land owners and users, is helping to ensure the future of Dutch agriculture.